Just the Facts About

Homosexual-Child Abuse

A Primer for Parents, Educators & Public Officials

A Publication Edited by:
Edgar Davie

DEDICATION

This book is dedicated to the thousands and thousands of children who are being sexually abused today – from the ten year old little girls who are sold into prostitution in Asia, to the street children in Rio, to the boys and girls in our American society today.

"…thou shall not seduce ~~young~~ boys…" --Didache (Ca. ~~100 B.C. – 140 A.D.~~ 70 - 80 AD)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FROM THE EDITOR

It is only after my sixtieth birthday that I have come to grips with, and understand, the psychological damage I suffered as a child. Twice, at age seven and nine, I was psychologically coerced into shameful and disgusting relationships by homosexual-pedophiles. Even though these incidents were brief, their effect lasted a lifetime and affected all my future relationships with men and women. Only gradually have I come to understand that the personal shame and guilt which I struggled with were not my fault.

This book is the result of my search for understanding.

Edgar B. Davie

THIS STORY

Eighty-one percent of Americans are opposed to homosexuality. Most people believe it to be distastefully deviant or immoral. Yet, in our pluralistic and tolerant society seventy-four percent believe homosexuals should be left alone to do as they wish within the confines of their private lives.

However, homosexual activists are no longer prepared to conceal their sexual orientation and are aggressively dictating to the eighty-one percent majority that we must now accept this life-style as normal, mentally healthy and socially acceptable. To date, they have been remarkably successful.

Unfortunately, there is a dark side to homosexuality of which the average person is unaware, a dark side that, because of its increasing public acceptance, is beginning to affect future generations of our children. That dark side is homosexual-pedophilia – same sex child abuse. Few parents are aware of the differences and common, overlapping similarities between homosexuals and homosexual-pedophiles. They are also unaware that perhaps half of all child sex abuse goes unreported. That is the story of this book.

This book demonstrates that, particularly among men, homosexuality and homosexual-pedophilia are often two sides of the same coin.

This book must not be viewed as an attack on any homosexual person because of their sexual orientation. It is understood by all objective medical scientists that homosexuality is not a conscious choice which they had the power to make or change. Often homosexuality results from unknowing and unintentional psychological abuse caused during early childhood. Homosexuals can often say with a clear conscience, "We were born this way," even though they were not!

We must have sympathy for those homosexuals who may wish to "change" to a normal, heterosexual orientation, but are often told that no help is possible, that they simply can not change. That is untrue. Much psychological abuse may be corrected if discovered. This book is written with the hope that some homosexuals will be spurred to seek help.

The information presented in this book is a compilation of facts, statements and scientific conclusions that are widely understood in the fields of psychiatric medicine, social workers and law enforcement. Moreover, this information is available to anyone willing to seriously investigate. Your editor takes credit for nothing, other than the arrangement of this material and a few personal comments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"On behalf of those who seek change."

A campaign has been set in motion by gay activists that is intended to make it illegal and/or unethical for psychologists to attempt "conversion therapy" with homosexuals. Even though modern psychology has known for more than 100 years that homosexuality is "disordered," psychoanalysts who so much as suggest this condition may be *changeable* are labeled "unethical" and "homophobic." Today, powerful and amoral forces threaten all who would oppose their campaign to characterize homosexuality and homosexual-pedophilia as normal. This movement has been so successful that homosexuals who may wish to "convert" are led to believe that no help is possible – that they cannot possibly change.

In 1992, three scientists opposed this threat to the mental health of homosexuals who struggled with their desires and desperately wish to change. A public curtain of silence had been drawn over the knowledge that change *is possible*. Into this misinformation campaign stepped the National Association for Research and Treatment of Homosexuality (NARTH). NARTH is an association of preeminent psychoanalytical scientists and physicians who are overcoming this silence by providing assistance to homosexuals who desire a different "sexual orientation." Today, their strength is growing with the support of an increasing number of informed people.

Much of the information in this book was obtained from NARTH literature. Anyone interested in the *clinical* facts of homosexuality should consult their website at www.narth.com. NARTH members quoted in this book are indicated by an asterisk: *.

A HOMOSEXUAL "PRIMER?"

In order to understand homosexual-pedophiles one must first understand homosexuality.

A very significant event in the homosexual rights movement occurred on November 23, 1999 when a pro-homosexual booklet was mailed to every school district in the United States: "Just the Facts About Sexual Orientation and Youth," subtitled *A Primer for Principals, Educators and School Personnel.* This booklet was produced by the Gay, Lesbian and Straight Education Network (GLSEN) and represented a coalition which is dedicated to re-shaping public perception and opinion on homosexuality as a normal alternative lifestyle. One that should be protected by Federal law.

Unfortunately, while most citizens are unaware of it, there has been a vast, covert and well-financed network of homosexual activists subtly influencing most corridors of American public opinion since 1973. However, "Just the Facts" was the first overt and coordinated thrust into an area which they feel will be the most important future battlefield – public education of our youth.

Kevin Jennings, executive director of GLSEN describes the impact of their book as "history changing" and declares gays "...need to receive support from their schools. They need to receive affirmation." He does not seek "toleration" – he expects "affirmation."

Most parents have attempted to ignore the ever increasing acceptance of homosexuality promulgated by the U.S. news and entertainment media. We have remained rather secure in the notion that it will have little effect on our children; but the content of their "primer," which was designed to teach educators how to instruct our children about homosexuality, should cause intense parental concern.

THE GLSEN'S BOOKLET PURPOSE

Their booklet was designed to intimidate into silence educators and/or parents who believe homosexuality is not only abnormal, but also mentally and physically harmful. Among other things their booklet contends:

- Homosexuality is not a mental disorder and thus there is no need for a cure.
- Students can be harmed by therapy aimed at changing their sexual orientation because it can provoke guilt and anxiety while having little or no potential for achieving change in their orientation.
- Pre-homosexual youths report becoming aware of "being different" between ages 5-7 and realize their full homosexual potential about age 13.
- Children who are potentially homosexual should be *encouraged* in the "self-realization of their normality."

These are formative years when youngsters are most vulnerable to influences which will forever shape their personality and individuality. The book is designed to develop empathy toward homosexuals. While it acknowledges that "some religious traditions consider homosexuality to be a sin, they warn that "promotion or endorsement by school officials of religious ministries aimed at overcoming homosexuality...could raise constitutional problems" and violate the students civil rights.[1]

In essence the coalition which approved of this book maintains that homosexuals are "born that way and no cure is possible." Furthermore, any attempt to condemn homosexuality will be considered "harassment." Finally, their propose that school guidance counselors should direct potential homosexual students to therapists who can help they "confirm the normality" of their feelings. Without such counseling they claim children will become clinically depressed and often suicidal. Some members of the coalition have gone as far as proposing laws which would make it "unethical and illegal" for therapists to attempt "conversion" of homosexuals.

THE GLSEN COALITION

At first blush the members comprising this "coalition" will shock and surprise most parents:

- American Academy of Pediatrics
- American Counseling Association (ACA)
- American Federation of Teachers and National Education Association

[1] GLSEN website, December 2, 1999.

- American Psychological Association (APA)
- American School health Association
- The Interfaith Alliance Foundation
- National Association of School Psychologists
- National Association of Social Workers

Most parents shrink into silence when confronted with such an apparent array of "professional experts." However, upon investigation one discovers that rancor, confrontational and heated disputes now divide those organizations.

Says Dr. Warren Throckmorton*, ACA, past president of American Mental Health Counselors Association, "The ACA has overridden the expressed directives of two of their assemblies – the Western and the Southern" [2] who believe homosexuality to be treatable.

A poll response of 2500 psychiatrist members of the American Medical Association revealed that 68% believe "homosexuality is usually a pathological adaptation as opposed to normal variation of psychological development." [3]

Even Dr. Richard Isay, Chairman of the APA Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Issues Committee, stated: "...most psychiatrists still believe that homosexuality is a disorder and that change in sexual orientation is possible under therapy." *New York Times*, April 21, 1993.

The NEA (which is synonymous with AFT) defends the right of known pedophiles to continue their positions as teachers in all grades, kindergarten through twelfth. During their national convention in July, 1999 the NEA passed resolution B-8 which states public schools should provide for the recruitment of homosexuals. They also call for teaching acceptance of homosexuals and how past discrimination against homosexuals has injured our society. [4]

The Interfaith Alliance claims to be a "faith based" voice to confront the "religious right." They believe overt homosexual practice and Christianity to be compatible.

New Ways ministries was specifically organized to counter defined, dogmatic, Catholic teaching that *practicing* homosexuality is a mortal sin. They have been prohibited from holding meetings on church property and their message renounced.

The above positions are merely a small sample of an enormous controversy surrounding the truth of homosexuality and insupportable myths which have been advance in an effort to legitimize it.

---

[2] NARTH, August 11, 1999 on ACA resolution.
[3] NARTH Bulletin, 1996 collected papers.
[4] American Family Association, *Journal*, October, 1999.

HOMOSEXUAL MYTHS

To properly understand the myths and misinformation that aggressive homosexual lobbying groups are attempting to propagate as 'acknowledge" truth, it is first necessary to understand what impartial medical scientists, since Sigmund Freud, have demonstrated to be the* established *facts.

MYTH #1 – HOMOSEXUALITY IS NORMAL

Renowned psychologist, Dr. Gerard Van den Aardweg* cogently summed up the universal consensus of objective medical scientists regarding the "normality" of homosexuality in an interview with *The Register* (July 12, 1998.) He was asked, "Are there specific traits that are characteristic in virtually all homosexuals?"

His answer was, "Yes, there are at least five specific traits to be found in homosexuals:"

Neurosis-Compulsiveness

1.) "In the first place, there is the concept of *neurosis*...[homosexuality] is a symptom of a male or female inferiority complex. Typical of neurotic people is a high level of personal insecurity, inner conflicts, emotional conflicts, depressive traits, psychosomatic problems...Also in their sexual life, the *compulsivity of sex is neurotic*. Their relationships are not stable, mature."

The neurotic compulsivity of homosexuality is attested to in a report from *New England Journal of Medicine* (No. 302, 1980, p. 435-438):

- 70% of homosexuals admitted that one-half of their sexual encounters were "one night stands."
- Homosexuals average 20 to 106 new partners per year.
- Homosexuals average 300 to 500 partners in a lifetime.

Infantilism

2.) "The second trait is *infantilism*. In every homosexual you may discover what is called the child in the adult. People with inferiority complexes remain, emotionally speaking, children. The immature side you see very clearly in homosexuals in areas that have nothing to do with sexuality. Psychologist Edmund Bergeler who treated many, many homosexuals and wrote several books on homosexuality said that: 'homosexuals remained in the teen age. Emotionally, they are teenagers,' and I agree."

Narcissism

3.) "The third point...is the trait of augmented *self-centeredness* in thinking and feeling and acting. This is a very important trait that has to do with neurosis. You have to be *ego-centered* and more so than average."

Self-identity

4.) "The fourth trait is the specific homosexual complex of not being able to identify normally with your maleness or femaleness – the so-called [gender] *identity problem*."

Dr. Van Den Aardweg, as nearly all clinical psychiatrists, point to this *gender identity crisis* as being paramount and a root cause of nearly all homosexuals. The problem begins during a child's androgynous stage. Between approximately 3 and 8 years old there is a gender identity stage when a child has not yet self-identified themselves as either male or female. While they are aware of "mom" or "dad," individual sexual roles are beyond their comprehension. It is during this critical time that "environmental conditions" begin to affect their self identity as male or female. Naturally then, a boy who from earliest memory never "male identified" would believe he has "always" been homosexual or "born that way."

Depression

5.) The fifth common trait is: "An *unconscious* self-pity – feeling the loner in groups, not belonging to the world of men [or women]. I stress the words *not belonging*. That is central in childhood and teenage experience of homosexuals. This feeling is always an inner drama. The child who feels ugly, inferior, an outsider, develops a self-pity." This self-pity, resulting from what pre-homosexual children see as the "tragedy" of "being different," or "rejected," is not a conscious or chosen identity. Rather, it is the tragic result of "environmental" conditions resulting from experiences with parents and peers. This depression leads many homosexuals to suicide. However, contrary to claims of homosexual apologists, this depression is not the result of a homophobic society. Instead, depression is systemic in homosexuality.

Finally, in discussing, and comparing, other sexual deviancies such as transvestites, pedophiles and those who seek sexual gratification in "abnormal" ways Dr. Van den Aardweg said, "All these people are sexually neurotic, like homosexuals they belong in essentially the same category. All of them had a problem with their masculinity…this 'gayness' is a game and what you see in many committed homosexuals, they are playing roles. They are artificial. They're not themselves…so, my contention is that, inwardly, a homosexual is never a happy, stable person. There is always some chagrin, some inner chagrin that he takes with him."

PRE-Homosexuality – Unintended Psychological Abuse

Universally, psychiatry understand that homosexuality has its beginning, as explained by Joseph Nicolosi*, Ph.D., "At a critical developmental stage called the gender-identity phase [when] the child discovers that the world is divided between male and female, which one will he be? He is personally challenged."[5] It is not abnormal for pre-pubescent children to have some unconscious confusion on this point.

"Both sexes are first identified with the mother, the first love object – but the boy has the additional task of identifying with the father…masculinity, as Dr. Robert Stoller said 'is an achievement.'"

Fathers who are absent, detached or abusive can prevent a young boy from self-identifying as a male and drive him closer to his mother's female identity. This problem is compounded when the mother is overly protective or possessive. For these reasons, male homosexuality usually develops from a female dominant form of parenting. World renowned psychiatrist Irving Bieber maintains that in *none* of the cases he treated, or studied, had there been a *normal* father-son relationship. In essence, the child is a victim of unintentional psychological abuse. Of course, this is an extremely simplified explanation and each case is composed of unique circumstances. It is for this reason that homosexuals should seek help in identifying the origins of their own psychological abuse.

There is yet a more virulent cause for homosexuality. A cause that nearly always replicates itself in the psychological life of its victims because it results from both psychological and sexual abuse -- pedophilia!

MYTH #2 – HOMOSEXUALS ARE "BORN THAT WAY"

The one theme echoed by homosexuals is, "We were born this way." And, to that end an elaborate web of science-fiction has been proposed by various "scientists" as they attempt to find the Holy Grail of homosexuality – proof that homosexuality is genetically or biologically caused and, therefore, normal or hereditary.

The Truth

"There is no scientific research indicating a biological or genetic *cause* for homosexuality. Biological factors may play a role in the *predisposition* [risk factor] to homosexuality. However, this is true of many *other psychological* conditions. Research clearly points out that social and psychosocial factors are [most] influential. Examples include problems in early family *relationships*, sexual *seduction*, and a sense of sexual inadequacy with same-sex *peers*, with the resulting disturbance in gender identity. *Society* can also influence a sexually questioning youth when it encourages gay self-labeling. [6] (As does GLSEN's "Facts.")

There is legitimate research, underway by scientists, which is aimed at discovering whether certain biological factors *influence* the development of many human *personality* and *character* traits as well as *behavior*. Some scientists believe that biological factors may influence behavior, including: substance abuse, eating disorders, learning disabilities, pathological gambling, explosive temper, poor impulse control, shyness and even violence. Speaking of biological factors, renowned psychologist, Dr. Gerald Zuriff of Wheaton College, says we will eventually find: "a biological basis for everything. Some people will be courageous, some shy, some vandals – but people will be responsible for what they do" (*Newsweek*, 1-26-98.)

In other words, homosexual pedophilia cannot be defended by claims that abuse was biologically influenced. No biological condition in the human species *causes* psycho-sexual disorder.

Biological Factors

It is understood by clinical psychoanalysts that certain biological traits can be "risk factors" which *may* make a trouble youth vulnerable to the neurosis of homosexuality.

---

[5] *Gay as Self-Reinvention* by Joseph Nicolas, Ph.D.
[6] NARTH publication, *Three Myths About Homosexuality*.

"Certain boys do seem especially vulnerable to homosexual development. Clinical experience tells us that the boy who is sensitive, passive, gentle and esthetically oriented may be most susceptible to retreat from the developmental challenge to gender-identify with his father [and male peers]. A tougher, bolder, thicker-skinned youth may well succeed in pushing through an emotional barrier. The sensitive son often seems to decide, 'I can't be male, but I am not completely female either; so I will remain in my own androgynous world, my secret place of fantasy…' This quality of androgynous fantasy endures into adulthood, in fact it is a fundamental feature of gay culture. This fantasy contains within it not only the narcissistic refusal to identify with a gendered culture, but also the refusal to identify with the *human biological reality* upon which our gendered society is based. In fact, gender – a core feature of personal identity – is central to the way we relate to ourselves and others." [7]

Dr. Nicolosi* further states, "A host of studies confirm the correlation between childhood gender nonconformity which is suggestive of gender-identity confusion, and later homosexuality. Not all homosexuality develops this way, but this is a common developmental pathway. We hear echoes of this theme over and over in gay literature – the repeated story of the pre-homosexual boy who is isolated and "out of touch" from male friends, feeling different, insecure in his masculinity and alone, disenfranchised from his father and *retreating* back to his mother. This feeling of disenfranchisement causes a 'detachment' from self and others. No wonder the pre-homosexual boy is often interested in theatre and acting. Life is a theatre. Can't reality be just what I want it to be?" [8]

It is a troubling commentary on our modern society that we have so many broken, fatherless homes which can be incubators for gender-identity disorders.

As one noted psychiatric expert, Jeffery Satinover, M.D., says, "If we could identify these traits precisely, many of them would turn out to be gifts rather than problems. For example, a 'sensitive' disposition, a strong creative desire, a keen aesthetic sense." [9]

NOTE: It is precisely because of these so-called "effeminate" characteristics in "sissified" boys (and men) and the aggressive "masculine" traits in girls which lead most heterosexuals to the incorrect conclusion that , in fact, "homosexuals are born that way." The truth is, these children were more prone to the effects of unintended psychological abuse.

There is no "Gay Gene"

In July of 1993 a prestigious research journal, *Science*, published a study by Dean Hamer, a homosexual, which claimed there *might* be a gene for homosexuality. Such a finding would prove homosexuality to be innate, genetic and unchangeable. A normal human variant and therefore, validating homosexuality.

Quickly, National Public Radio trumpeted the claims. Newsweek ran a cover story, "*Gay Gene?*" The Wall Street Journal announced, "Research points toward a gay gene…a normal variant." Our national media quickly convinced many citizens that homosexuals had been proven to "be born that way."

"In fact, this study means nothing of the kind," says a "Fact Sheet" from the National Association for Research and Therapy of Homosexuals (NARTH). Today this "study" has been thoroughly discredited in the scientific community.

Says Yale University's Dr. Joel Gelernter, "Unfortunately…all [such studies] were announced with great fanfare, all were greeted unsceptically in the popular press, all are now in disrepute." [10]

Unfortunately, spurious theories such as Hamer's are now almost commonplace.

Two gay activists recently published studies showing that if one pair of identical twins is homosexual, the other will also be homosexual 50% of the time. This they contend proves "genetic" homosexuality. Wrong! Twin studies are based on the fact that twins are *identical* genetically. Therefore, if one is genetically homosexual, the other will also be homosexual. Two genetic researchers, one heads the largest genetic department in the country, the other at Harvard, state: "While the authors interpret their findings as genetic evidence of homosexuality, we think the data in fact provides strong evidence for the *environment*." [11] This same conclusion is repeated by Dr. Richard Pillard, professor of psychiatry at Boston University, who was himself involved in another study of twins and sexual orientation who says, "Twin studies prove nothing."

---

[7] ibid.
[8] *Gay as Self-Reinvention*, Joseph Nicolosi, Ph.D.
[9] *How Might Homosexuality Develop?* NARTH Collected Papers, 1995.
[10] "Genes and Behavior," Mann, C., *Science*, 1994
[11] Billings, P. and Beckwith, S. *Technology Review*, July, 1993

The Gay Brain?

In 1991 media outlets on both coasts trumpeted the "discovery" of a brain difference between homosexuals and heterosexuals men. This study by neuroanatomist Simon LeVay, a homosexual, reported finding a "nucleus" of cells in homosexual men that is twice as large as in heterosexual men.

Today we know that the LeVay study is meaningless. There are large differences in individual brains. LeVay used a very small sample size, he had no way of verifying if his deceased subjects were homosexual or heterosexuals, and some had died of AIDS which can cause brain deterioration. Also, these new "findings" were already known. In his book, "Homosexuality, A Freedom Too Far," psychiatrist Charles Socarides*, M.D., president of NARTH says, "I maintain that investigators such as LeVay and Hamer...are engaging in a foolhardy intellectual exercise – a form of genetic aerobatics without any real promise of discovering the roots of homosexuality."

Ruth Hubbard, a board member of The council for Responsible Genetics, and author of "Exploding the Gay Myth," says that searching for a gay gene "...is not even a worthwhile pursuit...let me be clear: I don't think there is any single gene that governs any complex behavior."

Unfortunately, LeVay and Hamer's pseudo-science continues to be knowingly used by homosexual activists such as Chandler Burr in his book, "A Separate Creation: Search for Biological origins of Sexual Orientation."

Psychiatrist Richard Fitzgibbons*, M.D., comments on Burr's book in the Washington Times (January 24, 1997). He calls it "science fiction." Fitzgibbons says that this homosexual apologist, "...having proved absolutely nothing...[makes] the outrageous claim that clinical research all but universally accepted among biologists [shows] that homosexuality is a biological trait." (This is an outright lie!)

Fitzgibbons continues, "Furthermore, Mr. Hamer's research has been discredited by other studies and Hamer himself was charged with research improprieties."

Homosexual apologists, such as Burr, LeVay and Hamer, have abandoned all personal shame and sacrificed whatever professional credibility they may have had upon the alter of homosexuality.

The Omega Gene?

If no more than 1% of any population is homosexual, and homosexuality is caused by some genetic mutation, then each generation would be required to produce the same 1% gene mutation rate in order to sustain the homosexual population. This is about 1000 times higher than any known individual mutation rate in humanity (Cooper and Krawczak, 1993). Such a gene would be aberrational and dangerous to human existence. If the sustainable homosexual population is, say, 10% as some gay advocates would claim, then the gene mutation rate would have to be 10,000 times higher than any mutation rate! Where does it stop? Can the mutation increase on a mathematical or logarithmic scale?

The absurdity of these assumptions became clear when one considers the logical conclusions, and consequences, of such a cockamamie proposition. Nature would be slowly annihilating the human species. The day when the entire human population becomes homosexual there will be no next generation! It simply is not normal and would violated Darwin's theory of survival of the fittest for sustaining any species on earth.

Finally, this make-believe scientific theory is becoming so bankrupt that some homosexuals are abandoning the idea. Writing in the *Harvard Gay and Lesbian Review* (Fall, 1999), Edward Stein urges gay activists to move away from the "born that way" political strategy. "My research has lead me to be highly skeptical of claims that homosexuality is hard-wired from birth...linking gay civil rights to the ups and downs of scientific research can be very risky. ...Rather than scientific arguments, ethical and political arguments should be deployed, as they are with racial and religious minorities."

MYTH # 3 – ATTEMPTS TO CONVERT HOMOSEXUALS IS HARMFUL

Homosexual activists claim that, "Reparative therapy, sometimes called 'conversion' therapy, is based on an understanding of homosexuality that has been rejected by all major health and mental health professions. Professional organizations representing 477,000 mental health professionals have all taken the position that homosexuality is not a disorder and thus, there is no need for a cure."

This illegitimate and disproved claim was publicly proclaimed in "Just the Facts," book which, as we mentioned, was mailed to all American school districts on November 23, 1999 by Gay, lesbian, and Straight Education Network (GLSEN). Furthermore, they claim that since homosexuals "can't change" they will suffer emotional distress and become suicidal if "conversion" is attempted. For this reason they charge – treatment to

change homosexuals must be stopped. In reality, depression and attempted suicide are typical of the homosexual neurotic.

Amazingly, this insupportable belief has infiltrated substantial segments of the mental health field. Speaking to the 1999 NARTH convention, Brent Scharman, Ph.D., past president of Utah Psychological Association discussed his new awareness that effective treatment is available.

Dr. Scharman said he had once thought homosexuality to be biologically rooted and immutable, but through information provided by NARTH Scientific Advisory Board members, he had come to see an important new perspective of the issue. "Though I feel reasonably well read, I must admit I would have no awareness of what has been written or researched on the subject of change as a possibility if it weren't or a colleague of mine." Dr. Scharman is typical of many psychologists who do not specialize in homosexuality.

Conversion Facts

First, a person should understand that conversion can fall within a range of change. In 1960, Dr. Edward Glover described the degrees of improvement which could be confirmed to be a conversion:

1. Cure – abolition of conscious homosexual impulse.
2. Much improved – abolition of conscious homosexual impulse without completely developing full heterosexual impulse.
3. Improved – Capacity to control the homosexual impulse.

Ultimately, a final decision as to what degree of change has been effected must rest with the subjective *self-reporting* of the clients themselves. Additionally, there must be a follow-up period of at least three years in order to determine the permanency of any change.

Prior to the 1950's there appears to be little clinical data intended to document the percentage of conversion success. It was not yet an issue. However, verifiable therapeutic efforts were sought by: "Sigmund Freud's daughter Anna (1951); Poe (1952); Fairbairn (1952); Sullivan (1953); Bieberetal (1962); Mayersonard Leif (1965); Wallace (1969); Socarides (1978); Oversey & Woods (1980); to list only a few of the earlier studies.

Selected Examples

1956 -- A Central Fact Gathering committee of the American Psychoanalytic Association demonstrated that of 32 homosexuals in a therapy group the following results were reported: 25% cured; 41% improved. In all reported changes, follow-up later indicated full heterosexual functioning. Although it was a small and early attempt by qualified clinical psychoanalysts, the documented results were praised in the medical community.

1962 -- In one of the most respected and well-documented clinical studies prior to 1973, a research team headed by psychiatrist Irving Bieber*, M.D., and consisting of nine practicing psychoanalysts and two psychoanalytically trained psychologists, published the results of nine years of study of male homosexuals. This team were all members of the Society of Medical psychoanalysts and consisted of faculty and graduates of the Psychoanalytical Division of the Department of Psychiatry of New York Medical College.

The research sample consisted of 106 homosexuals and a control group of 100 heterosexuals, all of whom where in treatment. The results were impressive: **27% became exclusively heterosexual and were determined to have remained so seven years later.**

1967-1977 -- Over this period of time Dr. Charles Socarides* personally consulted with over 350 homosexuals and bisexuals. He demonstrated and verified with follow-up the results of a group of 45 overt* homosexuals.

44% "...developed full heterosexual functioning and were able to develop love feelings for their heterosexual partners. This included one female patient...In addition, similar positive results were obtained between 1977 to 1988 in which I have treated over 50 overt homosexuals." [12]

1970 -- Warren Throckmorton*, Ph.D. reported in the Journal of Mental Health Counseling, (volume 20, pages 283-304) on a 1970 study by Dr. Hatterer of what Hatterer described as "supportive, active, psychodynamic approach to treating gay males."

Of his study group of 143 homosexuals the following results were reported: 34% converted; 13% partially converted; 53% unchanged.

---

[12] "A Survey of Treatment Results," Charles Socarides, Ph.D.

1994 -- Dr. Throckmorton* published the survey of McIntosh who authored a survey of 285 psychoanalysts who treated 1,215 homosexual patients (824 male and 391 female). The respondents reported 23% "converted" to heterosexuality and 84% self-reported "significant therapeutic benefit." [13]

Note: The self-reports of the 84% who were not fully converted, but had received "significant therapeutic benefit," clearly demonstrates the fallacy of GLSEN that conversion therapy is harmful.

1998 -- Nicolosi, Byrd and Potts described the results of a national survey of 318 homosexuals who rated themselves as having an exclusive homosexual orientation. Results:

18% self-reported themselves to be exclusively heterosexual
17% rated themselves almost entirely heterosexual
12% rated themselves more heterosexual than homosexual.
Thus, 47% "converted" and only 13% remained exclusively homosexual

Additionally, respondents reported improvement in such areas as self-acceptance, personal power, self-esteem, emotional stability, depression and spirituality. Once again demonstrating that therapy helps!

Finally, the most dramatic success rates reported occurred in a "Group Psychotherapy" approach.

1980 -- Birk related that 100% of exclusively homosexual men beginning therapy with the *intent* to change were able to attain heterosexual adaptation. [14]

Religious Approach

Among the first, and most dedicated, groups to help homosexuals are numerous faith based organizations. However, since a documented clinical approach is not used, verifiable conversion rates are not published. This certainly does not mean that significant success has not occurred. On the contrary, most reports of change come from former homosexuals themselves. These changes are real and lasting.

Patterson & Patterson (1980) presented case studies of eleven males who had changed through participation in a church fellowship.

Success Rate?

Even now, with ever increasing success, and new techniques in conversion proving their worth, some detractors would argue that merely 25% conversion is not impressive. In any other field of medicine – say AIDS cure – would we abandon hope for higher results?

What Psychiatry Has Discovered

Most psychoanalysts in the field realize that breakthrough techniques are beginning to appear even though this corridor of therapeutic medicine is just beginning to recover from the disastrous effect of APA's removal of homosexuality from their diagnostic manual in 1973.

The most important criteria for a successful "conversion" is DESIRE-MOTIVATION-PERSISTENCE. Surprisingly, repeated studies have shown between 4% to 6% conversion for homosexuals who did not initially intend to change, did not believe change was possible, but did in fact convert under psychotherapy. While some clients experience a very rapid change (less than three months) many must persevere for a year or more.

Also, conversion often has a broad meaning. While most changes are firm and complete, others must guard against homosexual thoughts which can be precipitated during times of emotional distress – which, after all, was the cause of their homosexuality.

Change can be a life-lifting event that completely and positively transforms a person and their world-view. This transformation can be seen in two, typical testimonials published by NARTH, "New Survey Says Change is Possible," (1999).

A female respondent said, "I never expected this much recovery. My relationship with men has greatly improved... I'm learning to leave behind the familiar protective emotions."

Said a male, "Change is extremely difficult and requires total commitment. But I have broken the terrible power that homosexuality had over me for so long. I haven't been this light and happy since I was a child. People can and do change and become free."

---

[13] "The Sexual Deviations," (3rd edition) Oxford University Press, 1996, (pp 252-278).
[14] Throckmorton, *Journal of Mental Counseling* (vol. 20).

According to the opponents of conversion, people such as these are not supposed to exist. Imagine the terrible injustice being perpetrated against other homosexuals who may wish to be free of this "terrible power." Yet, the national homosexual lobby attempt to deny these people any opportunity for hope. Are their actions criminal?

As we look at the list of professional groups who have endorsed, "Just the Facts," and its demonstrably false propaganda we must ask ourselves: Has the world turned upside down? How on earth can any legitimate professional group –medical, social or education – in good conscience claim that attempts to cure a neurotic person is "unethical" or potentially "illegal?" Read on.

(Detailed documentation of the studies above are available through NARTH.)

SUBVERSION OF THE APA

In 1633 Galileo, the great Italian astronomer, was summoned to Rome, tried by the Inquisition and found guilty of teaching that the sun is the center of our universe and that the earth revolves around the sun. For this he was arrested and forced to deny, in writing, what he knew to be the truth.

Three hundred and forty years later (1973) in the United States of America, a similar attempt to suppress scientific fact occurred. As in Galileo's day, this new suppression attempt would make it illegal for physicians to inform patients about proven medical treatment which might help them.

What follows is that story.

THE APA's WORLDVIEW

The questions was asked: Has the world turned upside down? How can any person or organization claim, in good conscience, that attempts to convert homosexuals is unethical? The answer is deceptively simple: The current leadership of the American Psychiatric and Psychological Associations hold a different philosophical worldview of ethics, morality and mental health from that of traditional, historic psychology. That's right – a different philosophical attitude, not a new medical understanding.

It is of paramount importance that we understand the basis of this historic change. It was directly attributable to a change, "...in the APA's grounding philosophy...not a new scientific discovery. Even many gay advocates...admit that the change was not prompted by a discovery in the scientific realm, but a shift in the underlying philosophies." [15] This differing worldview begin to manifest itself in 1948 with the publication of the spurious "Kinsey Report." In essence, this report said, "...if it feels normal, it must be normal." This concept began America's sexual revolution.

Since that time an increasing number of new age psychologists have embraced a new, "post-modern," *theory* of psychological normality in order to justify their personal belief in sexual freedom, a freedom unencumbered by traditional moral concepts. This is true, as shocking as it sounds. A significant number of psychiatrists have placed personal and political considerations above ethical and moral principals.

Conversely, historical psychology holds this new theory to be unethical *deconstructionism*. It may be described as follows:

The concept of psychological health, whether disordered or normal, is socially constructed rather than objectively true or false. **Or, to express this theory another way –** ***there is no universal truth of what is psychologically normal or abnormal!***

Such a definition, is no more than a new social science manifesto.

In reality, what we have here is not a new theory of psychological health, rather, it is a new *philosophical dogma* which its advocates propose society should be required to accept.! From this erudite definition flows a world of possibilities:

1. Definitions of normality may be changed from an *absolutist* position to a *relative* position, i.e., there is no *objective* truth to describe normality.

2. Past distinctions between normal and abnormal sexual behavior were arbitrarily and politically motivated, therefore, new "subjective" understandings of sexual mores becomes possible.

Example: Under this new theory, only one condition must be present for a pedophile to be considered "psychologically disordered." The adult must feel "anxious" about the molestation or "be impaired in his work or social relationships." Note that this definition does not address psychological trauma the child may suffer!

According to APA's latest diagnostic manual (DSMIV), an adult no longer has a psychological disorder unless they meet the above criteria.

It was this radical new philosophical theory under which the APA "normalized" homosexuality and today we find that many previously designated disorders should no longer be listed in the DSMIV because they do not fulfill the "distress and social disability" criteria: voyeurism, fetishism, sexual sadism, masochism and incest.

If these conditions have been defined out of existence, where is a person to stop? The ethical, moral, legal and philosophical foundations of western civilization is grounded on concepts found in the Ten Commandments. Yet, by APA standards these concepts are negotiable and the sociopath can be deemed normal.

---

[15] Nikki Erickson, NARTH: Private correspondence, March 15, 2000.

A ringing endorsement of this extremist philosophy was presented by APA's Michael Wertheimer during an interview with Dr. Joseph Nicolosi* and is available through NARTH.

Wertheimer is the son of Max Wertheimer, one of the founders of the Gestalt School of Psychology. He is a Harvard educated experimental psychologist, a retired full professor at the University of Colorado and the author of forty books on psychology and hundreds of papers. He specializes in the history of psychology. He has been an APA "insider" for over thirty years, a member of the American Psychological Associations council of Representatives and has served as president of four APA divisions.

He concludes that pedophilia can be considered nothing more than a culturally-defined pathology! I urge you to read the interview on NARTH's website: www.narth.com.

It is the contention of this writer that the American Psychological and Psychiatric Associations are dominated by homosexual-pedophile apologists who are promoting an amoral philosophy which runs counter to our established social values, and therefore, are opposed to the principals on which western civilized society is constituted.

How It Happened

During December, 1973, a breathtaking coup de' tat against medical ethics occurred within one of America's most respected and influential medical associations – The American Psychiatric Association (APA). The coup was carried out by a well-organized cadre of homosexual activists and sympathizers who successfully subverted the APA committee system. Ultimately, the goal of this covert operation was to reshape how modern medical science would be allowed to describe homosexuality and homosexual-pedophilia. The reasons later advanced for declaring homosexuality normal were simply to protect their "civil rights."

Replacing long held medical truths with a "science-fiction" had apparently been in the planning stages for several years. In the end it was carried out with near military precision by having an influential member removed from a key committee assignment and replaced with pro-homosexuals.

This nefarious conspiracy accomplished no less than the repudiation of long established empirical truths regarding functional homosexuality.

Since Sigmund Freud, more than a century of scientific clinical investigation had carefully documented studies performed by thousands of "depth" psychoanalysts, on untold numbers of homosexuals – in both America and Europe. They had arrived at unanimous agreement on terms which should be used to describe homosexuality, " Emotional illness – arrested psycho-sexual development – pathological condition – psychiatric disorder."

Moreover, psychiatry understood the *causes* of homosexuality and that the causes were in no way hereditary. They are *unknowingly* acquired. Sigmund Freud had been first to determine homosexuality resulted from "arrested psycho-sexual" development which has its beginnings in androgynous childhood. Further, that these people are not simply "perverts" but are psychologically damaged. It was against this mountain of evidence that the APA moved.

Dr. Charles W. Socarides*, an inside witness to events within APA describes the consequences of this episode in The Journal of Psychohistory (vol. 10, no. 3, 1992):

> "A significant portion of society today is of the belief that homosexuality is a normal form of sexual behavior – different from, but equal to, heterosexuality. Many religious leaders, public officials, social and mental health agencies, including those at the highest levels of government departments of psychiatry, psychology and mental health clinics, have been taken in by a widespread sexual egalitarism – by accusations of being "undemocratic" or "prejudiced" if they do not accept certain scientific assertions thrust upon them, as if deprived of all intellectual capacity to judge and reason.
>
> "It is my contention…that this threat of revolutionary change in our sexual mores and customs has been ushered in by a singular act of considerable consequence: the removal of homosexuality from the category of aberrancy by the American Psychiatric Association (1973). It is furthermore a fateful consequence of our disregard for psychoanalytical *knowledge* of human sexual behavior."

The Subversion Begins

The genesis of events described here were the result of a request in 1963 from Dr. Socarides* to then Director of NIMH Stanley F. Yolles, M.D. for a national program for the prevention and treatment of homosexuality. As he explained, "Homosexuality, I predicted, could well be alleviated in many instances by fresh approaches to therapy. Hope could then be offered to many, who had often surrendered in despair – the very real hope that favorable prognosis was quite possible in most cases when homosexuals voluntarily sought help."

However, in 1967 the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) was primarily concerned with prosecutorial laws which often discriminated against homosexuals. They were also aware of the voluminous new evidence which continued to identify homosexuality as pathological. This concerned many pro-homosexuals who dominated NIMH.

In an effort to protect homosexuals from further negative consequences the NIMH formed a "task force" to study the problem. This group was composed only of physicians who were strongly pro-active in defense of homosexuals and "transsexuals" and, in order to assure the proper outcome, the NIMH intentionally excluded qualified psychologists who were not pro-homosexual. Some of America's most qualified psychoanalysists were told, point blank, that they would be excluded. The NIMH leadership considered them "biased" because of the "Freudian" approach. The NIMH intended to use the "Kinsey mold."

Alfred Kinsey, Ph.D., a zoologist, had produced a flawed and spuriously interpreted, study in 1948. The *Kinsey Report* had wrongly reported that 10% of American males were active homosexuals and 35% were bisexual. Today this report is known to have been "rigged" by Kinsey in order to validate his own personal proclivities. Social commentators see this study and the incredible sensation surrounding it, as the beginning of America's sexual revolution (see Kinsey, Sex and Fraud).

It is to this congenitally flawed report that homosexuals retreated in an effort to validate their new gospel of "sexual freedom." It was also this report the NIMH used to "mollify taboos and [negative] myths" about homosexuality and to "frame social policies" in its defense. By intentionally refusing to properly describe homosexuality as "an emotional illness" they "lent tacit approval to emerging [new] *concepts of deviancy*."

Thus, this first victory over the mental health establishment empowered homosexual activists who began a series of violent public and private protest against other organizations. Chaotic disruption of meetings and personal threats against APA members, the Association of Psychoanalytical medicine and the New York Academy of Medicine occurred. Psychiatrists who continued to speak of their clinical findings on the neurosis of homosexuality were attacked by some editorially controlled journals. The *Psychiatric News* became a homosexual "rag." Even the respected *Journal of the American Medical Association* (JAMA) was slandered for printing a revealing article, "Homosexuality and Medicine." It was attacked as: "an unfortunate potpourri of prejudice and misinformation which stems from obvious personal prejudice." (SIECUS, 1970)

Enter APA

In 1973 energized gay activists and their cohorts inside psychiatry turned their attention toward the APA which continued to list homosexuality in its diagnostic manual as a "disordered condition" requiring therapy. They were fortunate to have a collaborator, APA Vice President Judd Marmor. Marmor had been on the NIMH committee which sanitized homosexuality in 1969.

The first step occurred with the removal of respected Dr. Henry Brill from authority and Dr. Marmor's "consultation" with the *Gay Activist Alliance* to coordinate strategy. Following that meeting, Dr. Robert Spitzer, a homosexual sympathizer, "composed a position paper on the meaning and content of homosexuality." No matter that, ". . . he had never previously published a single article on homosexuality or sexual deviation." [16]

Today Spitzer denies that he referred to the discredited Kinsey Report but: "This [his] paper in essence repeated Kinsey's assertion that exclusive homosexuality was a normal part of the human condition."

Spitzer composed the document and sent it to the Council of Research and Development for review and approval. Knowledgeable APA members denounced Spitzer's new definition, but were told by the R&D Chairman, "After all, homosexuals must be protected and this might be the best way to do it." In other words, this committee chairman was willing to place socio-political considerations above established medical truth!

By this time the Board of Trustees was thoroughly compromised by this political "hot potato." They were lobbied for homosexual "civil-rights" and some were fearful of professional vilification if they did not capitulate. In an act of cowardice one-third of the Board avoided the meeting and two abstained. Hardly a quorum was left – they passed it unanimously.

A petition to overturn the vote was acted on in April, 1974 but by then the vote was meaningless. Forged documents, homosexual lobbying and coordination with insiders to identify from APA lists the names of likely sympathizers and opponents – all were believed to have happened. Still, 40% voted that homosexuality is disordered – unfortunately only 25% of the membership voted!

That controlled vote in no way represents what knowledgeable psychoanalysts know to be the truth. The real truth was verified in a *New York Times* article (April 25, 1993) in which Dr. Richard Isay, a pro-homosexual

[16] Journal of Psychohistory, Vol. 10, No. 3, 1992. NOTE: To his credit, during the intervening years, Dr. Spitzer has changed his view. He now says: "I'm convinced form people I have interviewed that for many of them [homosexuals], they have made substantial changes. I now claim that these changes can be sustained."

and chairman at the APA's Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Issues Committee said, "...most psychiatrist still believe that homosexuality is disordered and that sexual orientation change is possible under therapy."

Additionally, a 1977 survey of 2500 psychiatrists revealed 70% believe homosexuality is "disordered" -- 13% were undecided and 17% disagree. [17]

Conclusion

Since 1973, both the American Psychiatric and Psychological Associations have become pro-active in discouraging attempts to convert homosexuals.

The 1999 APA convention in Boston had 29 presentations of "gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgendered" issues. Each discouraged efforts to change. Other professional groups such as the National Association of Social Workers have been influenced to condemn conversion therapy.

The September issue of *Counseling Psychologist* [18] insisted that only "gay-affirming" therapy be conducted and suggested terminating therapy for clients who insist on conversion counseling. "We believe that in such cases, no action is better than wrong action [conversion] . . . we believe that is more ethical to let the client continue to struggle honestly with their identity than to collude, even peripherally, with a practice [conversion] that is discriminatory, oppressive, and ultimately ineffective in its own stated ends."

In view of the thousands of "converted" homosexuals, who today boldly proclaim their conversion from homosexuality to be the most uplifting and healing experience in their lives, such outrageous misinformation as stated above amounts to outright fraud against patients seeking medical advice. This clearly appears to be unethical. These people would sentence homosexuals who wish desperately to change to a continued life of despair, depression and, perhaps, death.

Question: If Federal Law can be enacted to protect the civil rights of homosexuals, would not the same law make it illegal to knowingly deprive them of potential medical assistance?

Can homosexuality be defined out of existence? If homosexuality is normal then what subjective or objective criteria shall medical science use in defending its long held views that other sexual pathologies are abnormal? Can rape, bisexuality, incest, sadomasochism or bestiality now be defined out of existence? Today, we see the first evidence that APA is also giving serious consideration to removing child sexual abuse-pedophilia from its diagnostic manual.

History has vindicated Galileo and his scientific truths! Scientific fact cannot long be suppressed by modern politically correct demagoguery. "Conversion" is fact, and the earth is not flat!

A detailed revelation of these incidents, complete with names and activities of key participants is available through NARTH, "*Sexual politics and Scientific Logic: The Issue of Homosexuality*," Charles W. Socarides, M.D.

---

[17] "The War Against the Family," William Gardiner (Toronto: Stoddard 1992).

[18] Counseling Psychologist (September 1999) "Treating the Purple Menace: Ethical Considerations of Conversion Therapy and Affirmative Alternatives".

THE HOMOSEXUAL PEDOPHILE

Pedophilia – "the sexual desire in an adult for a child."

At the mention of the word "pedophile," homosexual apologists often panic and become highly confrontational. They immediately term anyone a "bigot" who dares suggest that homosexuality and pedophilia are both the result of psychological and/or sexual abuse. The fact that both groups are often the same was well-expressed by Dr. Gerard Vanden Aardweg when he was asked if these groups are similar, "Yes. All these people are essentially the same, like homosexuals, they [pedophiles] are sexually neurotic." [19]

Universally, physicians and therapists who treat people afflicted with these sexual problems recognize a basic fact – the underlying cause, or causes, for these deviations are similar. Today, it is beyond ethical dispute that most homosexuality begins at the child's gender-identity stage, often as early as age 3. However, of the various causes of homosexuality, one is likely to be repeated later in life by the victim – homosexual-pedophilia. The psychological damage to an abused child is rarely erased. This is a truth to which I can attest.

Homosexual vs. Heterosexual Pedophile

All adults instinctively understand, and abhor, the perversion of a heterosexual male's sexual assault on little girls. Whether incestuous or not, it is neurotic. Male sexual abuse of female children is a signal cause of lesbianism – often reported by up to 50% of lesbians surveyed. Therefore, we should first realize that male heterosexual pedophilia can contribute to homosexuality in girls.

- 90% of sexual assaults on girls are by men. [20]

As one examines the differences between homosexuals and heterosexuals an important fact must be kept in mind. While *all* homosexuals are sexually neurotic – all heterosexuals are not. For this reason, homosexuals are vastly more likely to sexually abuse a child. When challenged with the fact that merely a tenuous difference may exist between homosexuals and pedophiles they attempt to point out that more "straight" child abuse is reported than is homosexual abuse. This difference is an illegitimate diversion of the truth.

Next, we must acknowledge another important fact – only about 2% of the population are homosexual males and lesbians may account for 1%. Straights, therefore, account for over 96% of the population. Beginning with this understanding we search available literature for well-documented statistics on child abuse. The facts are more than revealing, they are frightening when we compare the percentage of assaults committed by homosexual men versus heterosexual assaults.

- 50% of sexual abuse victims were boys, most of whom were under 12, and 94% of the perpetrators were male. [21]
- 46.5% of the victims of "sexual violations" were male children and 91% of the perpetrators were male. [22]
- 80% (estimated) victims of pedophiles are boys who were molested by adult males. [23]
- 93% of the sexual molestation of boys and 7% of girls were by *same sex* adults. (Nationally, a total 27% of women and 16% of men reported suffering some sort of sexual abuse.) [24]

These victim statistical percentages reveal a clear pattern of *male* homosexual abuse when compared to the percentages of lesbian and heterosexual abuses.

---

[19] Vanden Aardweg, op. cit.

[20] Finkelhor, D., *Sexual Abuse of Children*, Vol. 4, No. 2 – Summer/Fall 1994.

[21] British Columbia Ministry of health, "dimensions of Multiple victim Sexual Abuse," July 1, 1993. Case study of 2,099 child sexual abuse cases.

[22] Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics covering the decade 1980-1989. Reported in *Supply and Services Canada*, Catalogue 85-002, Ottawa, May, 1991.

[23] Schmid, T., "Straight and Narrow? Comparison and Clarity in the Homosexual Debate," Downers Grove, IL: Intervarsity Press, P. 114.

[24] *Los Angeles Times*, Aug. 26, 1985. Nationwide survey of 2,628 adults. According to David Finkelhor, Ph. D., research professor of sociology and co-director of the Family Research laboratory at the University of New Hampshire, "The *Los Angeles Times* figure is defensible as a true national estimate." (Sexual Abuse of Children, vol. 4, no. 2, Summer/Fall 1994.)

Finkelhor's comments are based on statistical information from 1.) National Incidence Study of Child Abuse and Neglect (NIS), a federally funded research project, 2.) state child protection agencies and 3.) law enforcement agencies. His group maintains that 20% of girls and 10% of boys are sexually abused in some manner. He also indicates these figures may be under reported by as much as 38%.

Percentage of homosexuals (both sexes) in reported cases.

- 35% of child molesters were homosexual. [25]
- "While no more than 2% of male adults are homosexuals, 35% of all pedophiles are homosexual. [26]
- 25-40% of all cases of child molestations reviewed in scientific and forensic literature were committed by homosexuals. (In science, a review of professional literature published in a refereed scientific journal is considered an accurate survey of the current state of knowledge.) [27]

Taken together, the above two groups of statistics are revealing:

- 2-4% of the population (male and female) account for approximately 35% of all pedophiles.

Based on statistics such as these, psychologist Paul Cameron, Ph.D., and statistical scientist Kirk Cameron, reports in the Nebraska Medical Journal that when data for both genders are compared:

- Homosexuals are 8-12 times more likely to molest children than are heterosexuals. [28]

Comparisons such as these, while valid, do not reveal the threat posed to boys by homosexual men.

- 93% of sexual abuse to girls is committed by heterosexual men who account for about 48% of the population. [29]
- 93% of sexual abuse to boys is perpetrated by homosexual men who may account for 2% of the population. [30]

The astounding differential in these national statistics confirm that individual homosexuals are many times more likely to sexually abuse a child than are individual heterosexuals!

How could this possibly be correct? One struggles to understand how such an apparently unbalanced statistic would be realistic. The reasons are well understood by investigators and therapists, and are best described with one word – *compulsivity*. In homosexuality, the compulsion for sex is insatiable and can never be fully satisfied. Each sex-act is a continuing search for their own sexual identity.

As was described by Dr. Vanden Aardweg earlier, "...in their sexual life, the compulsivity of sex is neurotic."

Recently the sobering truth of this reality was clearly documented with empirical evidence in an in-depth article in *Sports Illustrated* (September 13, 1999), titled, "Every Parents' Nightmare." While this article limited itself to the increasing incidents of homosexual-pedophiles who are gravitating into Little league baseball coaching, it is representative of many other areas to which pedophiles are drawn – scoutmasters, orphanages, school teachers and especially juvenile corrections, where many troubled youth become ideal victims. This truth should in no way impugn the compassionate and committed men and women who serve as adult role models, often dedicating their live to these honorable professions.

However, in this revealing article, FBI special agent Roger Young, an expert on crimes against children, describes his experience with homosexual-pedophiles which was acquired while gathering facts during investigations of hundreds of cases, "Most [homosexual] pedophiles have an age preference [pre-pubescent or post-pubescent], but what really sets them apart from situational and introverted offenders is the often staggering number of boys they seduce. These sex offenders will molest more children than any other type...anywhere from 12 in a lifetime up to 500 or 600. (Studies have found that the *average* preferential molester victimizes about 120 children before he is caught.)"

One homosexual-pedophile justified his activities as a search for love and complained that, "Although I've had physical relationships with probably a hundred or more boys over the years, I can only point to four or five true relationships over that time." *Journal of Homosexuality* (1990).

---

[25] Ibid.
[26] Freund, K., "Pedophilia and Heterosexuality vs. Homosexuality," *Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy*, no. 10, (Fall, 1984), p. 197.
[27] Cameron, P. *Psychological Reports*, 1985, 57: 1227-36.
[28] www.afajournal.org, *Homosexuality and Child Molestation.*
[29] *Los Angeles Times*, op. cit.
[30] Ibid.

These explanations by agent Young from his personal experiences validates a homosexual axiom: "The compulsivity of homosexuality is neurotic. The relationships are not normal – not mature." They are insatiably compulsive!

Homosexuality and Pedophilia are Self-Perpetuating and Result from Psychological/Sexual Abuse

Tragically, we now know that these psychologically and sexually abused children themselves are at high-risk to become homosexuals and/or pedophiles and continue this chain of sexual abuse. [31]

- "Victims of child sexual abuse may be more likely than others to become abusers. (Sheldrick, 1991)" [32]
- 53% of child molesters reported they had been sexually molested by an adult when they were under the age of 16. (Marshall, Barbarce and Butt) [33]
- 47% of homosexuals interviewed were sexually abused as children. [34]
- 86% of incarcerated pedophiles were molested. [35]
- Abused adolescents are seven times more likely to become homosexuals. (JAMA) [36]
- 33% of his 400 adult homosexual clients said they had experienced some form of homosexual abuse before the age of consent. [37]
- "...the experience of victimization as a child provides a modeling experience for homosexual pedophilia; that the child molested by a male may subsequently identify himself with the adult in such interactions." [38]

Since these statistics indicate that 33-86% of homosexuals and pedophiles appear to be repeating their own childhood abuse, reasonable people might assume that at least half that percentage of male homosexuals are potential pedophiles.

NOTE: A word of caution and fairness must be added at this point. No nationwide statistical evidence indicates the percentage of homosexuals, or heterosexuals, who will sexually abuse children. Many homosexuals are no threat to children and we understand the justifiable concern that non-abusive homosexuals will have at finding themselves included in statistics such as those above. Society must have concern for homosexuals and heterosexuals who seek help for their disorder.

Homosexual Males Recruit Boys

With the increasing public acceptance of homosexuality, they are becoming more bold in admitting the role pedophilia plays in their life-style.

- "Most of us, given the opportunity and the assurance of safety, would no doubt choose to share our sexuality with someone under the age of consent." [39]
- "...many gays are entirely straight forward about their goal of recruiting boys to the homosexual subculture. There is no secret about the role that boys play in the actual and fantasy world of homosexuality." [40]
- Psychiatrist, Jeffrey Satinover reflects on the *Journal of Homosexuality's* Male Intergenerational Intimacy, "This special issue reflects the substantial, influential, and growing segment of the homosexual community that neither hides nor condemns pedophilia. Rather, they argue that pedophilia is an acceptable aspect of sexuality, especially

---

[31] Schmidt, T. op. cit.
[32] Glaser and Frosh, *Child Sexual Abuse*, Second Edition (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1993), p. 21.
[33] W. L. Marshall, H.E. Barbarce, and Jennifer Butt, "Sexual Offenders Against Male Children: Sexual Preferences," Behavior Research and Therapy 26 (1988): 386.
[34] Dreikham, W. Ph.D., Doctorial Dissertation: U.S. International University, 1998.
[35] Groth, A. Nicholis, clinical psychologist, Connecticut Correctional Institute, 1985.
[36] Journal of American medical Association (JAMA), Review of Holmes and Slap Study (1998).
[37] Nicholo, Joseph as reported by NARTH "The Problem of Pedophilia."
[38] ed. Mark Cook and Kevin Howells, "Adult Sexual Interest in Children," Academic Press, Toronto, 1981.
[39] Goldstein, R., "The Future of Gay Liberation: Sex on Parade," *The Village Voice*, August 20-26, 1980.
[40] Newhaus, Richard J., "Table for One," Dec. 13, 1993. A review of homosexual Bruce Bauer's "A Place at the Table: The Gay Individual in American Society."

homosexuality. Indeed, the *San Francisco Sentinel*, a Bay area gay-activist newspaper, published a piece arguing that pedophilia is central to male homosexual life." [41]

- 73% of homosexuals surveyed by Jay and Young in *The Gay Report* revealed they had sex with young boys. (New York: Summit, 1979)

Profile of a Pedophile

- "Psychoanalysts recognize that the child abuser is typically an immature man who wants to 'give love' to a boy which he did not himself receive in childhood. He makes a narcissistic identification with the child...Thus the pedophile cannot understand that he is inflicting emotional damage." [42]
- "The majority are white males who have average to high IQ's and extremely good verbal and interpersonal skills. The majority also claim they were molested as children." [43]

The following are traits recognized by all clinical psychoanalysts who specialize in pedophilia: "...inferiority complex...high level of insecurity...inner, emotional conflicts...personal insecurity...depressive traits...psychosomatic...compulsivity...unconscious self-pity...unable to identify with their maleness." [44]

Yet, despite all these psychological problems most pedophiles go unrecognized in the course of their daily work and their interpersonal contacts with most associates!

Conclusion

Parents, educators and all who work with our children must be aware of these facts and no longer tolerate denial and cover-ups. Homosexuality and pedophilia feed upon themselves, are self-perpetuating, and must be confronted by all responsible persons of authority.

Dr. Joseph Nicolosi* says that many of his clients first childhood sexual contacts occurred with a trusted older person and other sexual "conversion" therapists report similar high rates of molestation among their clients. [45] and [46]

> "Thus it is not surprising that we see more pedophilia among homosexual men since they are more likely to have been victims of abuse themselves and are likely to repeat that abuse with a same-sex child." [47]
>
> Also: "Chandler notes that men in prison for sex offenses often complain bitterly of homosexual molestation in youth...[also] Ollendorff has suggested that if the first orgasm was experienced homosexually it may condition the child for the rest of his life." [48]

In his life story, *Breaking the Surface*, Olympic diver Greg Louganis reveals his experience with adult-child sex. An unusually sensitive boy with a distant, fearful father relationship and an intense closeness to his mother (classical high-risk factors), he was molested by an older man he met on the beach. In his childish need for male affection he perceived the relationship as loving. Such stories are all too typical for pre-homosexual boys.

As psychologist, Gerard Vanden Aardweg points out "...non-coerced" adult-child sex is a misnomer. There is always an element of coercion involving a misuse of adult authority by a sexually mature person and a child's need for affection."

Editor's Note:

The term "pedophile," as used in this primer has made no distinction between those who prefer prepubescent adolescents (12 and under) and those who prefer post pubescent adolescents (12 and older). The reason such a distinction was not made is that available statistics and studies simply do not provide such differentiation.

Clinically speaking, the term ***ephebophiliac*** designates homosexuals whose interest is limited to adolescents and, ***pedophile*** refers to homosexuals who seduce children under 12. However, while the

---

[41] Satinover, Jeffrey (1996), "Homosexuality and the Politics of Truth," Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, p. 63.
[42] *The Problem of Pedophilia*, NARTH.
[43] *Sports Illustrated* (Sept. 1999) "Every Parent's Nightmare"
[44] *The National Catholic Register*, "The Battle for Normality," July 12, 1998, Dr. Vanden Aardweg.
[45] Byrd, A. "Integrating Treatment of Unwanted Male Homosexual Attractions," NARTH Conference, Oct. 1998.
[46] Dickson, Gregory "An Empirical Study of the Mother-Son Diad of Homosexuality," Doctoral Dissertation , University of Michigan.
[47] NARTH, *The Problem of Pedophilia.*
[48] West, J.D., *Homosexuality* (Chicago, Aldine, 1968.

"ephebophiliac has a kind of sexual neurosis different from that of the pedophile…the distinction between homosexuality and homosexual pedophilia is not quite absolute." [49]

In other words, the casual factors for homosexuality, homosexual pedophilia and homosexual ephebophilia are all similar, yet individual. Unfortunately, while our present society is demonstrably indifferent to actions of consenting adult homosexuals, it is past time that we become aware that all types of homosexual child abuse will increase with increased public acceptance of homosexuality.

There appears to be a commonly held view among clinical psychoanalysts that the homosexual-pedophile is more difficult to "convert" than those homosexuals who may not sexually molest children. The statistics provided under *Conversion Facts* does not provide a breakdown on the number of patients who may have been pedophiles versus those who may not have been. In fact, this researcher has found no literature indicating success/failure rate specifically targeting homosexual-pedophilia.

If the statistical analysis of this book is correct (as your editor believes it to be) and a significant percentage of homosexuals may commit at least one pedophilic act, then we must also conclude that the majority of those clients who were "unchanged" during conversion (rates as high as 50%) might be homosexual-pedophiles.

The conclusion drawn from these statistical correlations are inescapable and present a challenge to psychoanalytical professionals; how are we to identify and treat those homosexual-pedophiles whose neurosis poses such a threat to out children?

[49] Harvey, John. "The Homosexual Person," Ignatius Press, 1987, P. 219. From Van den Aardweg, "On the Origins of Homosexuality," p. 57.

GENDER IDENTITY DISORDER (GID) IN CHILDREN

Anyone who has read this far is aware of several clinically determined realities which are, none the less, denied by homosexuals. Primarily, the beginnings of homosexuality are imbued into children at a very young age and are the result of unintended psychological abuse. These abusive beginnings are neither normal nor healthy, and under proper circumstances can be reversed. One of the most important remedies is identifying behavior signals, and treating these problems, at the *earliest possible age.*

Because GID children are usually pre-homosexual, gay activists groups, such as GLSEN with "Just the Facts" book, are working to remove this syndrome from the Diagnostic Manual of the APA, just as they were successful in removing homosexuality in 1973. They have labeled treatment of this disorder "homosexual genocide." Their reasons are understandable. "If homosexuality is no longer considered a disorder, why should its forerunner – GID in children be labeled a disorder?" [50]

In this new field of battle, there are few clinical studies which have documented the same success that is achieved later in life with adult homosexuals at a time when therapy is more difficult. However, we do have studies which demonstrate the negative consequences of avoiding treatment. Richard Green, M.D., Director of Research at Charring Cross Hospital in London, reported a study of 44 GID boys who were *untreated* – 80% became homosexual.

Why should we attempt to identify and treat GID children? In reality, several of the problems identified as being the result of a "homophobic society" which were listed in "Just the Facts" book, are actually the very reasons why GID must be treated – they are the *beginning* of sexual neurosis and its associated problems.

- 68% of male and 83% of female adolescent homosexuals use alcohol.
- 30% of gay and bisexual adolescents attempt suicide.
- 1 in 5 HIV positive men were infected during adolescence. [51]

These facts, combined with one 1992 study in the *Pediatrics Journal* which found that 25.9% of 12 year olds were uncertain if they were gay or straight, and the fact that 30% of homosexual men will be HIV positive, or dead of AIDS, by age 30 are reason enough to begin a national awareness of GID and its consequences. When an adolescent self-identifies as homosexual or bi-sexual, physician educators and parents should immediately ask, "Was this child sexually abused?"

It is for all the reasons described above that the homosexual lobby is now attempting to brainwash our children during their most confused and vulnerable stage of social, ethical and sexual development.

Vulnerable children who may feel disenfranchised from their peers, depressed and with low self-esteem, children from broken or abusive homes, children seeking acceptance from society – these children will be welcomed with open arms into a "loving," accepting culture that they did not even know existed.

It is at this time that the homosexual-pedophile is most active. Pedophiles seek the opportunity to seduce one of these young people, whether pre or post-pubescent. If GLAAD and their book, "Just the Facts," are successful in penetrating our schools, the number of sexually abused children will increase – which will in turn, breed more homosexuals in future generations.

Unfortunately, those groups promoting acceptance of homosexuality are having success among some of our youth. The December 8, 1997 issue of *Time* Magazine (p. 82) contained an article entitled, "Out, Proud and Very Young" which said of homosexuality: "It is becoming a '90s' version of . . . an environmentalism for many youths. Even in certain parts of suburbia, gay is becoming more than O.K.; *it's cool.*"

Newsweek Magazine of March 20, 2000 reports that the Gay Straight Alliance (GSA) claims to have 700 chapters established in schools across the nation.

Persons interested in this subject may wish to read "Gender Identity Disorder and Psychosexual Problems in Children and Adolescents" by Kenneth Zucker, Ph.D. and Susan Bradley, M.D.

Children are not born homosexual – homosexual children are created!

---

[50] *Treatment of Gender-Disturbed Children: Clinical Issues*, NARTH.
[51] GLSEN website, Dec., 2 1999.

LEGALIZED: "INTERGENERATIONAL LOVE"

Through the history of western civilization, from Phoenicia to Abraham, to the Greek and Roman civilizations and continuing through the Jewish/Christian/Islamic cultures, certain acts have been universally and continuously condemned. Nowhere, at any time or place, has rape, incest, or pedophilia been tolerated or ignored. Rather, these aberrations have been anathematized legally, socially, morally and psychologically.

Today, the world is changing. There is now an organized effort to break down theses ancient barriers. It began its advance with the Kinsey study and is manifest today in the homosexual-pedophile movement. They are real and they are earnest.

History

The first attempt to place pedophilia in a positive, clinical light was the *Kinsey Report: Male Child Sexuality*. Kinsey attempt to document pre-pubescent orgasms of young boys under stimulation by their adult male "partners."

It is documented that nine pedophiles (some "technically" trained) were at the core of Kinsey's report. The details of this report are too hurtful to repeat here. For a complete analysis see: Judith Rishman, Ph.D. and Edward Eichel, *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud: The Indoctrination of a People*, (Lafayette, LA: Lochinvar-Huntington House, 1990).

Next, in 1972 the U.S. National Gay Rights Platform called for:

- Abolition of all laws governing age of consent.
- Repeal all laws against sodomy and adult or child prostitution.
- Homosexuals right to adopt children.

Today, this platform remains in effect.

At that time, these early events were considered to be absurd by most informed commentators. However, an unsuspecting public world soon comprehend the dead seriousness of this movement. A decade later, in 1981, Dr. Theo Sanford of the Department of Gay and Lesbian Studies at the University of Utrecht, Netherlands, interviewed 25 young boys, ages 10 to 16, who were involved in sexual relations with adult men. Stanford reported, "For virtually all boys...the sexual contact was experienced positively."

There was a nefarious aspect in this study, because many of the parents were unaware of the relationships and the researchers deceived them by not revealing the facts and details of their children's abuse. Still, this report was widely circulated and hailed as another breakthrough for pedophilia. Dr. David Marzek, co-author of "Sexually Abused Children and Their Families," roundly condemned the reports and warned that it was politically motivated in order to reform 'legislation'." Unfortunately, his warning came too late, the legalization movement had already begun.

By 1990 the campaign became legitimized in socio/political circles when the professional *Journal of Homosexuality* published an article, "Male Intergenerational Intimacy: Historical, Socio, Psychological and Legal Perspective."

This supportive article actually provided devastating information on the way psychologically immature adult homosexuals use vulnerable boys who are starved for the adult male bonding they had never received. The report edified what was called the "*positive nature of these relationships*." The idea was presented that: "successful" pedophile relationships could help and encourage the child, even though the child agrees to sex while really seeking comfort and affection. This concept was presented as a prime reason why "intergenerational love should be re-examined."

The thrust of this entire publication was to decry anti-homosexual sentiments and, in its introduction, complains of the difficulty in studying man-boy relationships in an "objective" way. "The author hopes the 'social sciences' will adopt a broader approach that can lead to understanding the diversity and possible benefits of intergenerational intimacy."

This view was supported by Dr. Robert Bauserman (remember the name) in 1990 when he complained that objective research is impossible in a social climate that condemns man-boy sexual relationships because of the prevailing ideology which labels all boys as "victims" and all adults as "predators." He attacked most child psychologists for being driven by a "particular set of beliefs about adult-juvenile sex" and looks for a new "scientific objectivity" which will allow research that can "*challenge the social-moral taboo against adult-child sex.*"

Finally, the entire thrust of this 1990 *Journal of Homosexuality* can best be summed up by a quote from a British University professor: "***Boys want sex with men, boys seduce adult men, the experience is very common and much enjoyed.***" (Page 323.)

Enter APA

That 1990 *Journal* article fertilized homosexual intellectuals dignification of plain old child abuse and the climate was right to move forward.

The latest episode in this momentum building campaign legitimizing adult-child sex involves the American Psychological Association and an article in their powerful journal, *Psychological Bulletin* (1999) which was read by nearly all professional clinicians. The co-author was the same Dr. Robert Bauserman.

For the first time a professional medical association promoted the idea of a "better way of understanding" homosexual-pedophiles with this conclusion: "It may not be abuse unless the child feels bad about the relationship." The propaganda in this report was palpable; it attempted to make a tortured distinction between *forced* and *consensual* sex between adults and children. What child can make an informed decision to consent to sex? Can incest be consensual? Does psychological coercion constitute consent? As an abused child, I condemn such an idea.

NOTE: This is an exact repetition of the first step used in 1973 by the APA to normalize homosexuality and remove it from their Diagnostic Manual. The APA had pretended homosexuality was normal as long as a person did not "feel bad about it."

Today, in fact, our uninformed public is not aware that the APA has *already* set the stage for normalizing homosexual-pedophilia as they did homosexuality. According to the latest APA Diagnostic Manual (DSMIV) a person no longer has a disorder simply because he molests children—now he must also feel "anxious" about it or be "impaired in his work or social relationships,"

THUS – THE APA HAS LEFT ROOM FOR THE "PSYCHOLOGICALLY NORMAL" PEDOPHILE!

This position, coupled with the 1990 *Journal of Homosexuality* position that it must also be distressing for the child, opens the way for legalization of pedophilia.

Repercussions

A national fire-storm of objection was created when the indomitable Dr. Laura Schlessenger, host of a nationwide radio talk show, condemned as "perverted" and "science-fiction" the very idea of "positive" child abuse. The U.S. House of Representatives passed Resolution 107 "condemning and denouncing" the very idea.

Still, APA spokeswoman Rhea Faberman stoutly defended the article as a "scientific work" and denied it was an attempt to normalize pedophilia. Her defense was not only transparent, it was asinine because, as she knew at the time, the report was already being used to promote homosexual-pedophilia. The North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) had issued a press release entitled "The Good News About Man/Boy Love: New Studies Call Sex Panic Into Question. -- *A new study bolsters the long-standing claim of NAMBLA activists that consensual sex between men and boys doesn't cause psychological damage...the study was published in the pre-eminent journal of the American Psychological Association.*"

Contrary to these APA denials, there is a real and growing movement to both legitimize and legalize sexual relations between boys ages 10 to 16 and adult men. [52]

This uncompromising support for adult-child sex must now be seen as a growing effort in liberal social/political/medical circles. Even a world renowned professor emeritus and sexologist from John Hopkins University is quoted: "If I were to see a case of a boy age 10 or 11 who is intensely erotically attracted to a man in his 20s or 30s, if the relationship is totally mutual, and the bonding is genuine, then *I would not call it pedophilia in any way.*" [53]

However, another, equally renown psychiatrist, Jeffery Satinover*, M.D., expresses his deep concern on the movement to normalize homosexual-pedophilia, "This special [APA Bulletin] issue reflects the substantial, influential and growing segment of the homosexual community that neither hides nor condemns pedophilia. Rather they argue that pedophilia is an acceptable aspect of sexuality, especially homosexuality. Indeed, the *San Francisco Sentinel*, a Bay Area gay activists newspaper, published a piece arguing that pedophilia is central to male homosexual life." [54]

---

[52] NARTH, *The Problem of Pedophilia.*
[53] *Journal of Pedophilia*, Spring, 1991, Vol. 2, No. 3
[54] Satinover, Jeffrey (1996) *Homosexuality and the Politics of Truth* (Bauer Books).

How To Legalize Homosexual Pedophilia

The first step for those who wish to legalize adult-child sex is their attempt to change the parameters of discussion from an "absolutist," moral position, to the "relative" position that it can *sometimes* be beneficial – just as was done with homosexuality. They have argued with some success that distinctions between "same gender" sex is arbitrary and politically motivated. Now, they bring forth the same argument about "intergenerational sex."

Explaining how to complete this first stage of changing the arena of discussion was Harris Mirkin who wrote in the *Journal of Homosexuality*, vol. 37 (2) 1999: the concept of child molestation is a "cultural and class-specific creation" which can and should be changed by comparing homosexual-pedophilia to the fight for women's rights and civil rights for blacks.

Then, during the second phase, Mirkin sees the expected "moralistic" objections being thwarted by moving the discussion to the issues of "rights" of children to have and enjoy sex. If this paradigm shift can be accomplished, as it was with homosexuality, the issue would move from a *moral* to a *political* arena and be open for negotiation. If lawmakers can be made to discuss when, and under what conditions, adult-child sex is permissible, it would only be a matter of time until the public views pedophilia as just another sexual orientation.

Today, social opposition to pedophilia is strong, but the homosexual lobby is now intoxicated with expectations. They realize they have won an *enormous* victory because it is being seriously discussed in socio/political circles, just as homosexuality was in 1973.

Pedophilia's Fellow Travelers

Two organizations – North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) and parents and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (P-FLAG) – must now be considered influential members of the homosexual movement that are stealthily influencing public opinion in an effort to legalize pedophilia.

Because of their name and slogan, "sex before eight or else its too late," NAMBLA sympathies have, until recently, been denied by the homosexual movement. However, they have now gained credibility and participate in gay pride marches and events such as the Gay Pride march on Washington where 80% of the participants openly supported their agenda.

Recently, articles in professional journals have begun to advocate NAMBLA's program. Primarily that "they are born that way and can't change."

The *Journal of Homosexuality*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2, 1990, widely read in academic and medical circles, stated: ...one-third of the pedophiles studied claimed that "their sexual desire for children is a natural part of their constitution." This desire is variously described as "inbred, innate, a fact of nature, inherent in them" and concludes that being "born a pedophile" allows them the same right as other people to pursue the "natural" expression of their sexuality.

A second *Journal* author, Dr. Edward Borngersma complained about the "bias" which labels man-boy sex as "abusive, molestation, assaults." He claims that most researchers are unobjective – "many people...exhibit such violent emotional hostility toward boy-lovers because they fear their own...pedophile impulses!" Borngersma goes on to cite cases in which social workers achieve "miracles with apparently young delinquents – not by preaching to them, but by sleeping with them," claiming these sexual relations "did far more good than years in reformatories."

Finally, he again repeats the NAMBLA claim that the loving pedophile can offer "companionship, security and protection," contending that parents should view the pedophile "not as a competitor...or thief, but as a part of the boy's upbringing, someone to be welcome into their home."

Yet another *Journal* writer in this same issue, David Thorstad, repeats NAMBLA's platform calling for "freedom of sexual expression for young people and children." Author Gerald Janes writes, "...same sex intergenerational intimacy may be developmentally functional" and says, "Some studies have found benign or even beneficial results in boys who were at that time involved with men."

In essence, it is difficult to distinguish this issue of *Journal of Homosexuality* from NAMBLA's stated positions.

P-FLAG, as opposed to NAMBLA, is a well-known and respected national organization which functions as a "positive support group" for parents of homosexual children. They have branches in all 50 states and about 70,000 members. Currently, they are a recommended resource for schools and community agencies by the U.S. Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Justice in its manual, "Preventing Youth Hate Crime." The U.S. Department of health & Human Services and the Center for Disease Control. Even Ann Landers, a national columnist, advises her readers that homosexual children are O.K. and directs parents to P-FLAG.

The cloak of respectability afforded this organization is astonishing when one examines their recommended child guidance literature. Their booklet, "Beyond the Bible," lists *hate groups*: Promise Keepers, Focus on the Family, Concerned Women of America and Family Research Council. All Christian organizations.

On the other hand, it recommends an alternative view of spirituality, "Gay Soul: Finding the Heart of Gay Spirit and Nature," which discusses: gay sex as sacred – gay man reporting he had a peak orgasmic experience during sex with God; Sadomasochistic torture by a psychotherapist – incest between father and brothers labeled "sacred."

But another P-FLAG book may be even worse. *Young, Gay and Proud* by Don Rosenburg is breathtaking. Rosenberg includes the following chapters: "Getting Started" – condemns "stupid rules" such as "guys shouldn't wear dresses...people might say that certain kinds of sex are dirty...many [rules] are more than just foolish – they can be destructive...there is no right or wrong way...sex by itself never hurt anyone. The only rules we need are simple: *do what feels right to you.*"

Doing it: Gay Men – for teenage boys.
"Learning how to give and receive love through sex is an important part of loving ourselves...it also shows the straight world that we're not going to live according to their narrow minded rules about [adult] men, women and sex. Most of all, just have fun. Sex should be fun."

Doing it: Lesbians – for teenage girls.
"In lesbian loving there are no rules, and we want it that way... Being a lesbian means exploring... No one can tell you what is right for you, but you... Sex with someone you choose, at a time and place of your choosing can be exciting and fun...only you know what you are ready for."

This chapter also gives graphic instructions for female masturbation, both individually and with a girlfriend.

The Book – "Bi Any Other Name," (Alyson Publishing, 1991).

This book for teenagers has a chapter featuring a self-described "fag-hag" who decided to "turn dyke" in order to be "wild and free, happy, non-monogamous, or even kinky like the faggots...great tolerance existed in the bisexual community for open relationships, group sex.

From the chapter, "Coming Out in Spirit," a woman who once "loved her husband but became bored with traditional heterosexuality, such as having children and a nice home, traded her religion for a feminist theology and now "draws strength from a religion in which all acts of love and pleasure are her [goddess] ritual."

From the chapter, "Overview."

"Today we hardly know what is natural, or how to heal the body-hating, homophobic, sex-negative culture." The author describes how to rediscover curiosity and passion, the *sacred* spark of life expressed through "gender and genitalia."

Chapter "Beyond Bisexual."

"I give her all my love and lust, I have an orgasm – sometimes several. I [have] had sex with thousands of people of all races, religions, colors, sexual persuasions...abstention can be dangerous for your health."

Finally, "Sacred Rituals."

"I am being caressed as my sexuality is being celebrated, my consciousness melts into vague memories of holy sexual union...tonight my lovers and I are one in orgiastic celebration...why was I taught to love God the Father instead? Tonight I got my religion and it was in bed."

Summary

The literature which these, and other homosexual organizations, are infusing into our children's education and government agencies are by historical standards no more than pornography. It cannot be cogently argued that their intent is any less than an effort to further confuse already confused children about the true nature of a psycho-sexual disorder which can lead them deeper into an unhealthy and depressing lifestyle.

THE ADOPTION THREAT

To subject a child or adolescent to the control of homosexuals is to place the child at an incalculable risk for both pedophilic seduction and/or entrapment into the homosexual lifestyle.

Civilization has forever understood and condemned heterosexual child abuse. Whether it be seduction of little girls by men, rape, or incest, this is a matter which all men and women innately understand and deal with quickly. What the average person does not realize is that homosexuals are high risk potential pedophiles. They commit up to 93% of all reported child sex abuse cases against boys and 7% of the reported cases against girls – yet they comprise less than 2% of our population. [55]

Deplorably, the socio-political axis, led by a homosexual dominated APA, are promoting the legalization of pederasty, just as they did homosexuality, and promoting legalization, not only of homosexual marriage, but also of single parent homosexual adoption rights. The potential consequences are devastating.

The risk factors for young boys is particularly high if reared by a dominant lesbian "mother" and no father-figure with whom to identify. He is at risk to become homosexual. If he is reared by a "gay" father, he runs the risk not only of being seduced into homosexuality but of himself later becoming a pedophile. Girls reared by lesbian are at an extremely high risk of "psychologically inheriting" her mother's sexuality. Girls reared by bisexual men are nearly assured of sexual molestation which is a signal cause of lesbianism.

The chilling reality of this threat to children was documented in the study, "Homosexual Parenting," published by the Family Research Council.

- Dr. Paul Cameron found that 13.9% of children raised by homosexuals became homosexual.
- Even homosexual Dr. Jerry Briger reports that 12% of these children become homosexuals.
- 29% of children raised by homosexuals reported having sex with the homosexual parent. Only 0.06% of children of heterosexuals reported incest. (A disparity of 483%)
- 47% of children reared by at least one homosexual parent reported *less than* exclusive heterosexual orientation.
- 61% of homosexual and bisexual youths who attempted suicide had been sexually abused. [56]

It is axiomatic that children reared by homosexuals will be lucky to escape the unfortunate condition themselves. This understanding is not homophobic – it is established fact!

---

[55] *Los Angeles Times*, op. cit.

[56] "Risk factors for attempted suicide in gay and bisexual youths." *Pediatrics* 87:869-875.

HOMOSEXUAL MARRIAGE – DOMESTIC PARTNERS

The oxymoron "homosexual marriage" is mind-bending to average folk who cannot understand how such a fiction could be suggested nor how it would function. Such "couplings," can only be understood by understanding two of the syndromes, which are deeply imbued into all homosexuals – *promiscuity* and *compulsivity*. In homosexuality exists an insatiable sexual compulsion that *cannot* be satisfied.

Promiscuity is psychologically necessary and uncontrollable in homosexuals. This is not an opinion promoted by "homophobes" but is freely acknowledged by homosexuals themselves.

McWirter and Mattison, a homosexual couple, one a psychiatrist and one a psychologist, wrote, "The Male Couple," (1984) in an effort to disprove the reputation that gay male relationships do not survive. Although long term gay relationships do exist, studies have consistently shown them to be highly promiscuous and driven by the ever present *compulsivity* which is part of a homosexual's make-up.

McWirter and Mattison were forced to admit in their book, "The Male Couple," that "The expectation for outside sexual activity was the rule for male couples and the exception for heterosexuals." Of the 156 homosexual couples in their study not one was able to maintain sexual fidelity for five years. While the authors admit that outside sexual activity often raises associated questions of trust, self-esteem and dependency, they maintain that: "...the capacity for mature intimacy does not preclude the possibility of sexual activity outside the couple's relationship as being psychologically healthy in the context of the gay subculture... For some men, sex outside of the primary relationship is truly 'recreational' and not necessarily pathological." (Question: will their friends baby-sit for them?)

They conclude: "We believe that the single most important factor that keeps couples together past the ten year mark is the lack of possessiveness they feel. Many couples learn very early in their relationship that ownership of the other, sexually, can become the greatest threat to staying together."

Homosexual activist/writer Andrew Sullivan agrees with that reality in his book, "Virtually Normal," saying gays "...have a greater understanding of the need for extra-marital outlets."

An English study of homosexuality [57] reveals that the average length of relationships for unmarried heterosexual men over age 18 was 1.3 years, for homosexuals 0.5 year. For women it was 4 years and 1 year.

Another report in the *New England Journal of Medicine* (No. 302, 1980, p. 435-438) on Bell and Weinberg's study found that: "...about 70% admitted to having sex only once with over half of their partners. Other studies report that homosexuals *average* 20 to 106 *new partners* per year. The average had 300 to 500 partners in a lifetime."

Another survey appearing in the *British Journal of Sexual Medicine* (April, 1987) reported: "...two London homosexuals had 500 partners in one year and twelve estimated 5000 in their lifetime to-date."

These figures are compatible with those which we presented in the *Homosexual Pedophile* section. Space does not permit a lengthy anecdotal report on the incredible number of multiple incidents of sexual abuse committed against "adopted" and "custodial" children. Suffice to say they are legion and it is likely that you can call one, or more, quickly to mind.

Heterophobia

Before leaving this subject a word must be added regarding the animosity and jealously felt by homosexuals against the straight, heterosexual family. In their search for legal recognition and social acceptance many homosexuals feel the need to diminish the historical concept of traditional marriage – describing it as less than ideal.

The APA's *American Psychologist* (June, 1999) carried an article titled, "Deconstructing the Essential Father." Their conclusion was that traditional fathering is a "neo-conservative" construct and that fathers do not make a "unique and essential contribution to child development." This is no more than homosexual propaganda.

Dr. Louise Silverstone, the author, also made the ludicrous statement to cns.news.com that: "...heterosexual marriages discriminate against mother-headed families, gay fathers and lesbian mothers," saying: "...the male tends to consume resources in terms of gambling, purchasing alcohol and cigarettes" which "increases women's stress..." She concludes: "...data does not support the conclusion that fathers are essential to child well-being, and [or] that heterosexual marriage is the context in which responsible fathering is likely to occur."

Lastly, from the *Gay Community News, New Dimensions*, 1988-1990: "The heterosexual family unit – spawning ground of lies, betrayals., mediocrity, hypocrisy and violence – shall be abolished."

---

[57] *Christianity Today* (1987)

Conclusion

It is into the above described world that homosexuals wish to place our children.

The nuclear family has been the foundation unit of all civilized societies, cultures, tribes and governments through all of human history. It is under attach in western societies today because of a general breakdown in morality, ethics and commitment.

The commitment "forsaking all others," while not always honored by heterosexuals, nonetheless, has a completely different meaning to homosexuals. What type of society will condone a "marriage" when it is tacitly understood by both parties that fidelity is not expected?

Sex-education in our schools such as is proposed by "Just the Facts About Sexual Orientation and Youth," will accelerate the families demise.

Unfortunately, the American Psychological Association is also promoting homosexual adoption rights. Currently the APA is attempting to have all affiliated state chapters endorse, and co-sponsor, a statement which would support the right of homosexuals to adopt children in each individual state.

NOTE: For anyone who wishes to read a short, yet thorough, compelling and well-documented paper on this subject, please consult the Family Research Council's web page www.frc.org, "*Homosexual Parenting: Bad for Children, Bad for Society*" or the Family Research Institute in Colorado Springs, Colorado.

HOMOSEXUALS IN GOVERNMENT

Since the Civil Rights Act of 1963 our Federal government has moved forcefully to afford justice and fairness for persecuted minorities. Positive societal changes since that time have made us all aware of the tremendous good which can flow to those who are wrongly discriminated against.

Historically, homosexuals have sometimes been subjected to persecutory laws and discrimination of an unwarranted nature. All citizens are entitled to "equal protection under the law," (especially in their private lives) as guaranteed in our Constitution. However, many commentators believe they are witnessing today a near complete reversal of those previous conditions, to a point where homosexuals are treated as a special class of citizens, entitled to extra constitutional rights. Nowhere is this more evident than in official Federal policies promulgated by the Executive Branch since 1993.

Just as the NLGJA is working inside the media to influence homosexual reporting (next chapter), we now see a vast array of powerful and influential homosexuals placed in key areas of Federal authority and directly affecting our governance. Few legitimate complaints could be lodged against these appointed officials if their appointment was based on knowledge, experience and merit. Unfortunately, this administration by its own public pronouncements, has assumed a pro-homosexual, activist position. One specifically intended to place homosexuals in positions to advance their agenda.

To assist the implementation of this agenda, President Clinton issued an Executive Order which places homosexuals, bisexuals and "transgendered" in a specially protected category for consideration for federal jobs, promotions and contracts. This feat was accomplished by adding these people to the Employment Discrimination Act (ENDA), which was instituted under President Nixon to prevent discrimination against persons based on their race, religion, national origin, age and disabilities. To these legitimate characteristics has been added a class which is defined solely by their psychosexual identity disorder and accompanying neurosis.

The threat of lawsuits will assure that homosexuals are actually given preferential treatment for federal contracts and employment. In the minds of most government employers, the threat of litigation will carry more weight than many advantages heterosexuals may have. In most instances, this order institutes a quota system for psychologically disturbed people.

To-date, it is reported the administration has appointed more than 100 openly homosexual officials to key decision-making positions within:

- Presidential Assistants
- Administration Officials
- Dept. of Commerce
- Dept. of Energy
- Health and Human Services
- Environmental Protection Agency
- White House Office Policy
- Dept. of the Interior
- Dept. of Labor
- International Trade Administration
- Presidential Staff
- Office of Correspondence
- Housing and Urban Development
- Council of Economic Advisors
- Justice Department
- Educational Dept.
- Small Business Administration
- Foreign Ambassador to Luxembourg
- Pro-homosexual Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg
- Federal Judge
- Office of Special Council

Some of these people are virulent homosexual activists:

- Roberta Achtenberg, Assistant Secretary for Equal Opportunity at HUD, took a leading role in having Boy Scouts of America expelled from public facilities in the Bay area and from the lists of charitable givers because the Scouts, "...provide character building exclusively for straight, God-fearing male children."
- Victor Zonana, Clinton's press spokesman at HHS is a co-founder of National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association.
- At least two cabinet officers have been publicly identified as homosexuals: In January 2000 Andrew Sullivan, homosexual writer-activist, identified Donna Shelalah, Secretary of Health and Human Services and Janet Reno, Attorney General of the United States. Defending these two ladies was former Mayor of New York, Ed Koch, who was also "outed" by Sullivan. While not denying the charge, he likened Sullivan to "Jew Catchers" in Nazi Germany. During a television interview on Fox News Channel, Sullivan was unfazed by the charge. His credentials are impeccable within the national homosexual movement.
- Finally, in what may be the most blatant homosexual affirmative action demand by any government official occurred during Vice President Al Gore's presidential bid. Vice President Gore announced that he would demand homosexuals serve openly in the armed services – a move opposed by nearly all armed force personnel. To insure compliance with his wishes he announced that a litmus test would be applied to all military appointments on his Joint Chiefs of Staff – they must vigorously support his goals for homosexuals. Several high-ranking generals, who would be likely candidates for these posts have publicly stated that such a requirement would disqualify them.

Homosexual Unions

GLOBE – Gay, Lesbian or Bisexual Employees, is an organization working within the Federal government in much the same way the NLGJA works within media. GLOBE has successfully lobbied federal agencies for taxpayer monies to finance gay and lesbian pride celebrations and some expenses for employees participation in "cultural diversity programs."

Thirty-eight GLOBE organizations represent homosexuals in most federal agencies and departments. Additionally, federal GLOBE, not "legally" connected to the 38 agencies GLOBE has more than 1000 members. Jeffrey Brodze, an IRS employee is spokesman for Federal GLOBE. [58]

Any person who would deny the Clinton-Gore administration actively promoted pro-homosexuality has an agenda other than the truth.

[58] "Homosexual Activities Proliferate in Federal Agencies," J. Howard Price, *Washington Times*, Jan. 2000.

MEDIA CONTROL

Homosexuality would not possibly be accepted as normal by the public today without active support in America's news and entertainment media.

Media/marketing and political analysts acknowledge that the homosexual agenda is endorsed and promoted by our dominant news and entertainment media. While the average individual is merely aware of an ever-increasing plethora of homosexual subject matter which has come to dominate all forms of America's (and British) media, they are unaware of the extent to which homosexual operatives have been able to seize control or the methods they now use to negatively portray all opposition.

In order to understand this nearly complete media domination one must examine three gay organizations and their covert collaboration. By this collaboration they have forged an axis, operating from both outside and inside nearly all media venues.

GLAAD – The Gay and Lesbian Alliance Against Defamation; HRC – Homosexual Human Rights Campaign and NLGJA – National Lesbian and Gay Journalist Association. The axis of cooperation between these three groups is both simple and effective.

GLAAD and HRC are media "lobbying" groups who actively engage the news and entertainment media from "outside." Their mission is to effect a positive spin on all public accounts of homosexuality and to obviate any negative reports. On the other hand, NLGJA members have strategically placed themselves on the "inside" of media organizations. Their mission is to elucidate positively all reporting and portrayal of homosexuals, while "burying" demeaning and negative stories.

--One Example—

In October 1998, Matthew Shepard, a homosexual student at the University of Wyoming met two "macho" young men in a bar. Both were total strangers. He *willingly* left the bar with these two "goons" who ultimately tied him to a fence then robbed and murdered him in a brutal, vicious manner. This news was *immediately* released by the Associated Press (AP) and *all* national news broadcasters featured this incident as their top story.

Why did this story receive such national attention?

First, a brutal murder such as this was of interest to many people outside of Wyoming and, based on this criteria, AP correctly decided to release the story on their national wire to all American news outlets. Secondly, other national news outlets also judged the news to be "hot" and consequently it became a "lead" story.

Why did the story not die at this point? Why did each brutal detail of the investigation, arrest, detailed background of all participants, trial and sentencing remain a top story for nearly a year? The reasons are a story of their own.

Within 48 hours after this death, Cathy Renna of GLAAD was on the scene arranging media interviews for everyone from local residents to the University of Wyoming. Her mission was simple – spin this matter as a murder/hate crime caused by a "homophobic" climate of hate. Their spin claimed that this "climate of hate" is spawned by pro-family and Christian "hate groups" whose "message" is "hate" of homosexuality based on "right-wing" moral and religious grounds.

From news conferences came the following quotes by HRC and GLAAD:

- "The ultimate victims of their message are people like Matthew Shepard."
- "These messengers are wolves in Christian clothes…[who] believe they are being given a Biblical dispensation…[for] hatred and violence."
- "They fostered an atmosphere…[leading] to hate attacks…on Matthew Shepard."
- "Matthew Shepard make a mistake; he fell into the path of someone who had been fed this rhetoric."

Within days *Time* ran a cover story blaming "religious conservatives" and called for hate-crime legislation. Immediately, the NBC *Today Show* with Katie Couric promoted the same viewpoint.

Within a week this same spin was repeated by all major newspapers, magazines, radio and television: NBC, ABC, CNN, FNC, MSNBC, CBS.

Because this story involved the gruesome murder of a gay person, internal decisions were made at all these national news organizations to keep the story alive. These decisions were often made because of internal influence

exerted by NLGJA members who occupied key editorial and decision making positions and were able to influence the story.

NOW: to fully understand the effect of the GLAAD/HRC/NLGJA axis, examine a similar story that could have proven devastating to the homosexual movement.

Jesse Dirkhissing, 13, was murdered on September 26, 1999 in Bentonville, Arkansas by two men that he knew. This child was suffocated with his own underwear, which had been stuffed in his mouth to gag him. He was bound, blindfolded, taped to a bed and repeatedly sodomized by one homosexual while another stood watch and masturbated. This episode lasted all afternoon.

The story never made it into the national media. Why?

First, the AP, which had immediately reported and followed-up the Matthew Shepard case on their *national wire*, never released this story on its national wire, even though the citizenry of Bentonville were aflame. The event was so heinous that threats on the lives of the murderers, and its associated publicity, will probably mean a change of the murder trial location. To compound the cover-up, AP confined their account to a *local release only* and *never revealed his killers to be homosexuals*.

However, within a week all major newspapers, television, radio and magazine newsrooms were "aware" of the case and had "round-filed" it due to the diligence of the NLGJA employees on the inside. When finally one national newspaper asked HRC spokesman David Smith about the case he: "...seemed to care nothing for the rights of little Jesse Dirkhissing, saying 'This has nothing to do with homosexual people.' This is the same HRC that had led the national media by the nose to the ridiculous charge that Matthew Shepard was not killed by two strangers he eagerly followed from a bar, buy by Christian conservatives." (*Washington Times*, L. Brent Bozell)

This is only one representative story out of thousands which should have been reported, but were effectively suppressed or obviated by NLGJA control of key editorial positions.

As revealed by Ms. Renna (GLAAD) in discussing methods used by her associates to control a story: "One of the most important things you can do is have those tough conversations about when it is completely inappropriate to run some *radical* group like the Family Research Council because of some misguided notions of balance. We have to offer them some more moderate voices, or convince them that there is no other side to these issues...we are now in the position of being able to say we have the facts, we have the high ground, we don't have to go one on one with these people." (*Washington Times*, L. Brent Bozell)

There is no public attempt to hide the mission of HRC and GLAAD, however, to fully understand the extent of this media treachery it is necessary to understand NLGJA and its work *inside* the media.

Peter LaBarbera of Americans for Truth in Washington, D.C. filed the following report. "Nearly every national media outlet sets up recruiting booths at National Gay and Lesbian Journalist Association Convention each year and helps pay for the convention." Says Brent Bozell of Media Watch: NLGLJA have ". . . boasted of events with network anchors like Dan Rather."

A fundamental tenant of NLGJA, as expressed to 525 participants at their 1998 convention in Las Vegas was: "advocacy on the job." This commitment was clearly spelled out by several panelists who spoke at the convention.

1.) Kim Severson, an assistant editor at the *Anchorage Daily News* stated she "argued" with fellow staffers about printing letters to the editors that "quote the Bible" or contain "vicious attacks on gays," and that she also supervises coverage on the same-sex marriage battles.

2.) *St. Petersburg Times* editor Jim Hamer, a member of NLGJA's board of directors, addressed a panel on the subject, "How to Lobby a Gay Story."

3.) *New York Daily News* editor, Kevin Hayes, admitted that he frequently attempts to influence *Daily News* articles to promote homosexuality saying, "It's incumbent on all of us who feel a responsibility to our community, as journalists, to do everything we possibly can to connect the stories in our papers with our community...if you have a gay story you must get it out there...it's your responsibility as a gay person."

During panel discussions negative slurs were abundant for homosexual opponents: "Reverend Shitbag – extremist – heinous bigots – Ku Klux Klan - Nazis – lunatic zealots."

Realizing that any comments, such as the ones we have quoted, would be available to their opponents, their candor and arrogance was breathtaking. This chutzpa stems from pompous confidence that their subversion of the media will not become generally known by the public. They won't allow it to be know. One is left to ponder just how forceful their private, interpersonal communications may be.

The convention's opening speaker was CBS's Leslie Stahl, a powerful decision-maker among television broadcaster personalities.

NLGJA's Charles Kaiser boasted that, "...the editors and the publishers of every national newspapers, and the chief anchor and division chief of every major news organization in America, except for Peter Jennings, has attended NLGJA's events."

Homosexual representatives and media heavyweights who attended and helped finance the convention included: NBC News, CBS News, ABC news, Fox News Network, Time Inc., Turner Broadcasting, Knight-Ridder, Times-Mirror Newspapers and the Washington Post. These same media groups also sent recruiters to the convention.

Peter Jennings, Dan Rather and Tom Brokaw would vehemently deny their broadcasts are, in any way, influenced by NLGJA activists who occupy powerful and strategic editorial positions within their news rooms. But what should we expect them to say? After all, these people are friends and associates. Their culture and sensibilities are accepted as normal. Their friendship and influence are deeply imbued into these organizations.

The formidable homosexual groups we have described, and many others, operate on budgets of millions of dollars annually and have agents in all American cities especially designated to interface with associates at local news outlets.

It would require another book to describe the legion of homosexual opinion shapers in the Hollywood and television entertainment industry. While less than 2% of the American population is homosexual or bisexual, it is conservatively estimated that fully 15%-20% of actors, producers, writers and directors are gay. Additionally, well more then 50% of all "straights" in the entertainment industry support GLAAD and HRC.

Nothing in the heterosexual world approaches this interfacing web of homosexuals. All organized opposition pales into near insignificance.

There exists only one voice of importance in the national media today that is informed and fiercely confronts these forces of darkness -- Dr. Laura Schlessenger, a nationally syndicated talk show hostess who is heard in nearly all markets. She has also been subjected to vicious "hit pieces" on CNBC, MSNBC and CNN cable TV.

One observation which must be made regarding movies and TV. We are seeing an enormous increase of homosexual personalities cast always in affirmative roles. In all instances these individuals are depicted as positive role models; dedicated law enforcement, military, public service personnel, ministers and marriage counselors. In sitcoms they will be light, happy, well-adjusted people who often offer wholesome comic relief to the "straight" characters.

All of these homosexual characters are affable, positive, socially-embraced and members of an inclusive cast of normal characters. While you will see perverted heterosexual men as rapists, sexual predators in positions of authority or violators of little girls – you have never seen a homosexual-pedophile.

The message to adolescents and questioning youth is, without exception, "...see, we are normal, well-adjusted, it's OK to be like us." This is the big lie that is being imbued into the consciousness of vulnerable youth today.

As the late Ayn Rand once observed:

> "In the absence of intellectual opposition, the rebel's notions will gradually come to be absorbed into the culture. They come to be accepted by degrees, by percent, by implication, by erosion, by default, by dint of constant pressure on one side, and constant retreat on the other...until the day when they are suddenly declared to be the country's official ideology."

Who Are These Organizations?

HRC

Formed in 1980, today it boasts of being the largest homosexual organization with 300,000 members and an in-house Washington, D.C. staff of seventy-one. This group is primarily a lobbying organization with a $14.4 million budget in 1997-98.

In addition to a "quick response" legal department that is designed to immediately file suits on behalf of homosexual causes, they also prepare in-depth legal briefs for legislative actions.

- They gave 1 million in 200 political races.
- Advertise on commercial television
- Produce public service television ads.
- Provided $1.1 million to defeat incumbents in Hawaii who opposed same sex marriage.
- Conducted 130 local "training programs" across the country.

- Their "immediate communication network" lists 550 contacts for: National organization, international organizations, homosexual online resources, news and online publications, bookstores, daily news feeds, electronic mailing lists, state and local organizations, universities, resource contacts, both Republican and Democrat headquarters, medical contacts and fifteen "Anti-Gay" sites.

It is within the halls of Congress that HRC exerts its most powerful influence. Check www.hrc.org.

GLAAD

GLAAD was founded in 1985 with the following mission statement: "GLAAD fights for fair, accurate and diverse portrayal of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgendered people in the mass media. Empowers grassroots response to defamation and promotes visibility." Their 1998 budget was $3.7 million.

This group has a headquarters staff of 30 and also maintains chapters and staff in New York, Atlanta, Hollywood, Chicago, Dallas, Denver, Kansas City, San Diego, San Francisco and Washington, D.C. Additionally they have created at least two "media resource" centers.

Their operation is simple – in each of their chapter areas they have identified all media outlets of importance. Radio, TV and print; each outlet has someone assigned to know and to lobby key decision makers at the outlet. They are also to coordinate their activities at these outlets with any NLGJA members available. This coordination assures live coverage of any event GLAAD wishes to make public.

These lobbyists are also highly trained in "their understanding of the media and skills needed to work with them." GLAAD's *Training Service Program* is conducted in all chapter areas and also trains homosexual activists from other organizations.

GLAAD has been successful in having prominent media people suspended or fired for making off-had remarks that they may consider "homophobic," i.e., (Andy Rooney, ABC, Ben Wright, CBS).

Entertainment Weekly names GLAAD as one of the 100 "Most Powerful Entities in Hollywood."

They have been successful in having hundreds of pornographic homosexual web sites reinstated after various servers had canceled them. However, their most effective work is performed daily through close cooperation with NLGJA members. Also see www.glaad.org.

NLGJA

This group was only founded in 1990 but its impact, particularly during the last five years, has been incalculable. Their mission statement is: "*Work from within the news industry to foster fair and accurate coverage of lesbian and gay issues and oppose news room bias against lesbians and gays and all other minorities.*"

They project 2,000 working members by the end of 2000 and maintain 23 chapters strategically located across the country in "media centers." Their largest chapter in New York has 300 members.

NLGJA operates a nationwide "Job Bank" network in order to identify and quickly fill any position which may come open in any outlet nationwide. From "copy boy" to "managing editor," NLGJA applicants are recommended from within the news organization by other members and, to-date, are making incredible strides toward populating news and entertainment venues with their people. Web site: www.nlgja.org.

OBSERVATION

There are knowledgeable commentators who personally believe that America has already lost the war against homosexualization of our culture. For sure, there is no organized, intercommunicating web of allies to oppose this cultural demise. While there are numerous organizations doing battles, their efforts are uncoordinated, under funded, fragmented and have been largely marginalized by the homosexual organization.

It is time for a national symposium of all interested organizations to explore the formation of a national network. A refuge that would have trained volunteers and activists capable of counteracting this vast movement by homosexuals and pedophiles.

Today, our efforts pale into near insignificance. Please consult the websites of these three organizations for an in-depth look at their organization, tactics and success.

APPENDIX A

HISTORICAL HOMOSEXUALITY

Sodomy and fellatio were rarely found in historic cultures, civilized or uncivilized. A very few primitive societies, or tribes, were known to have fostered sodomy among young, unmarried men, i.e., the Kerski of New Guinea where young boys were ritually sodomized at the age of puberty by older bachelors "and thereafter spent the rest of their bachelorhood doing the same thing to other initiates."[59]

Instances of homosexual/molestation communities were rare and confined to archaic, unevolved groups such as, Zuni Indians of North America and the Siwans tribe of North Africa.

Recently, the notion that homosexuality was rampant in ancient Greece and Rome has been advanced. This is not true. While no religious prescription against it existed in either place, homosexuality as it appears today, was very limited, confined to the socially debased and held in contempt by the average citizen.

In Greece a form of "pederasty" was practiced in some social classes among adult men and young post-pubescent boys. [60] However, in "Athens the practice of sodomy was strictly circumscribed by law and young boys were also protected from assault...[and] pederasty aroused prejudice in legal cases." [61] Fellatio was also considered criminal by the common man.

Historical revisionists are now claiming that Alexander the Great, Genghis Kahn, the Knights Templars and even David and Jonathan in the Bible (1 Samuel 18 & 20; 2 Samuel 1) were homosexual.

The accusation against Alexander is interesting in light of the fact two of the greatest philosophers and societal opinion shapers of ancient Greece were Socrates and Plato who had condemned sodomy and fellatio – terming these acts "beneath the animals."

The term "lesbian" comes from an island, Lesbos, where the poetess Sappho lived with a community of women. It is reported she had a child and was probably bisexual. This was not uncommon, women were not considered socially equal to men and formed their own societies. This often occurs in post-married lesbians today.

In pagan Rome, debauchery was tolerated but generally scorned by most citizens. Homosexuality, as we understand it today, with sodomy and fellatio between middle class men of roughly the same age and having multiple partners was nearly unknown.

Lastly, an examination of sub-cultures that have practiced homosexuality, such as ancient Samurai warriors and Nazi Brownshirts, reveal an amoral perversion that has never been tolerated in any Christian/Judaic society since Abraham (1900 B.C.). As a matter of fact, the onslaught of homosexual practice flourishing in American and European society today is both historically unequaled and alarming. This truth is best summed up by an expert in the field: "Abraham Kardiner, professor of Psychiatry at Columbia University, recipient of the Humanities Prize of the *New York Times* in 1966, and an expert in the area of psychoanalytical investigation of cultures, warns, "There is an epidemic form of homosexuality, which is more than the usual instance, which generally occurs in social crisis or in declining cultures where licentious and boundless permissiveness dulls the pain of ceaseless, universal hostility and divisiveness." [62]

Does this define America today?

---

[59] J.W. West, *Homosexuality*, (Chicago: Aldine, 1968)

[60] Michael Ruse, *Homosexuality*, pg. 176, 177 (New York, NY: Basil Blackwell, 1988). This is a pro-homosexual book.

[61] Lacey, W.K. (1968) *The Family in Ancient Greece*. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.

[62] Charles W. Socarides, M.D., *Beyond Sexual Freedom* (NY: Quadrangle, 1975).

APPENDIX B

HOMOSEXUAL THEOLOGY

The thrust of this book is directed only toward homosexuality in its medical, social and political context as it exists in America today. Our diverse, multi-cultural society, with a religious freedom which does not permit the government to either promote or condemn any faith, allows all person the free rein to believe as they choose.

It is however, the contention here that many homosexuals are attempting to appropriate orthodox monotheistic theologies for themselves in an attempt to sanctify their lifestyle. To that end we now see bold attempts to justify homosexuality theologically within Judaism, Islam and Christianity. This is understandable, for after all, many homosexuals, like the rest of us sinners, are drawn to God and seek his loving approval.

Historically and scripturally, orthodoxy has held the practice of homosexuality to be an objective, mortal sin. Serious theologians consider the homosexual's tortured interpretation of scripture and their reconstructionists view of religious history to be beneath the dignity of serious intellectual debate. But we are called, in love, to share our beliefs with homosexuals. Many homosexuals have convinced themselves that a loving God will not condemn them for their "acts of love" here on earth. Ultimately however, we must all stand before judgment and answer for the formation of our conscious, and our actions here on earth. Will we, if wrong, be able to plead innocence based on the theological concept of "invincible ignorance?" If historical monotheism is correct that question should be a matter of concerns to homosexuals. Pedophiles should take special notice of Matthew 18:6.

For those seeking spiritual guidance in their quest to "change," the most active national organizations are Exodus International, Box 77652, Seattle, WA 98177-0652, Phone 206.784.7799;Christian Transformation Ministries, www.temnj.org; and JONAH (Jews Offering New Alternatives to Homosexuality), Box 313, Jersey City, NJ 07303, Phone 201.433.3444.